From: [redacted]
Sent: 25 February 2015 10:57
To: Huckfield, David
Subject: Planning application F/15/75913 concerns raised by neighbouring property resident

Dear Mr HUCKFIELD

We are writing in response to planning application F/15/75913 which is a two storey extension of 35 Berrywood Gardens, Hedge End SO30 4QZ. Our property is the neighbouring address number 34 Berrywood Gardens on which the extension will have the most implications.
We would like to voice some of our concerns over the application and would like these addressed before the application is approved.
1)
The applicant has approached us on one brief occasion regarding their decision to extend their property further. They informed us that the application had been submitted and would be constructed one foot (12 inches) past the footprint of the existing conservatory. On viewing the plans on line the application shows that the extension would be considerably larger than anticipated extending a further six feet past the existing construction. I feel that this is excessive and would be extremely imposing on our property. The addition to the address will mean structure will consist of an extensive solid brick wall of nearly 20 metres along the length of their property. This would clearly block natural light in to our property.
I also have concerns regarding damp being generated along the side of both properties caused by the lack of ventilation and warmth. Also the displacement of surface water could be compromised due to the extent of the depth of the solid footings along the expanse of the existing and new construction. The ground is a clay composition which at the best of times is not the ideal medium to allow free drainage.

2)
There is a main waste sewer for a number of properties that runs through the underneath of the proposed structure. I know that guidelines are laid down in relation to these taking place under foundations of properties. I would like to know what action is proposed to ensure that this will have no effect on our and adjacent properties and access is not compromised should it be required.

3)
The extent works for the foundations are directly up to the fence line of our address. We have an extensive area of raised decking which has been constructed on pad stones which are on the excavation line. The works that are carried out could destabilise the structure and cause the deck to collapse.
Reassurance that all damage will be rectified will be required.
4)
The extent of the structure will block the reception for a satellite signal to be received on our existing dish. I query where dish can be moved to accommodate a signal. Any adjustment will incur cost which I would expect to be undertaken by the applicant.

5) The proposed application has a wall that extends along a party line between our two properties. As we understand that a party wall agreement will need to be signed before any works take place. At present no legal access has been given by us in order to place and erect scaffolding on our property. We have been advised by your department that consent must be obtained to allow access on to our property. We have not had any communication either verbal or written from the applicant regarding this. We also have a shed where the scaffolding would be required. The location will make the scaffolding difficult to erect.

We would appreciate it if we arrange a meeting to discuss our concerns further in more detail before any permission is granted.
Thank you for your time and we look forward to hearing from you soon.

We are not fully against the applicant extending their property but feel that a compromise is required to the size of the structure.

Mr Robert THOMAS and Mrs Sandi THOMAS
34 Berrywood Gardens
Hedge End
SO30 4QZ
[redacted]