

DELEGATED OFFICER REPORT

Application Ref: H/22/93173

Site Address: 32 PEARCE ROW, BOTLEY, SOUTHAMPTON, SO32 2GS

Case Officer: Alexandra Stone

Description: Single storey rear extension

Particular Site Constraints?	Yes ☐	No ☒
- Within Urban Edge		

Planning History?	Yes ☒	No ☐
--------------------------	--	------------------------------------

O/12/71514 – Grant Outline Permission – 28/10/2012

Outline application with all matters reserved (except for access) for the demolition of golf driving range shelter and groundsman's equipment store and the development of 1400 homes with access from Winchester Road and Maddoxford Lane (with upgrades to the Winchester Road/Woodhouse Lane Junction and approaches and Maypole Roundabout, construction of Sunday's Hill Bypass and approaches, extension to existing hotel (including new conference and leisure facilities, 44 no. new bedrooms and car parking), creation of new local centre (incorporating energy centre, pub, assisted living accommodation, retail and employment floorspace, including change of use of Braxells Farm House to employment), primary school, multi purpose community building, sports and open space facilities including play areas, allotments and Multi-Use Games Area, and changing facilities, together with construction of roads, footpaths (including diversion of Footpath No. 2) and cycle ways, and pumping stations. (Amended

R/15/77552 – Approve the Reserve Matters – 19/02/2016

Reserved Matters Application (Pursuant to outline planning permission O/12/71514 which was subject to an Environmental Impact Assessment) for the spine road infrastructure together with foul and surface water drainage networks, landscaping and associated works. This application includes the diversion of Footpath No. 2

R/15/77595 – Approve the Reserve Matters – 06/05/2016

Reserved matters application (pursuant to outline planning permission O/12/71514 which was subject to an Environmental Impact Assessment) for the erection of 441no. dwellings, car parking, road infrastructure, pedestrian/cycle links, foul and surface water drainage networks, landscaping and associated works (phase 1). This application includes the diversion of Footpath no.2.

V/17/79954 – Permit – 14/10/2020

Variation to S106 Agreement (O/12/71514) dated 28 Nov 2013 in relation to the delivery of Bus Service provision, Botley Footpath works, Primary School site, Winchester Road highway works, provision of Changing Facility Building and Community Building and Winchester Road/Woodhouse Lane junction improvements

Publicity:	Letters ☒	Site Notice ☐	Newspaper ☐
Representations:	None		
Parish Council:	No Objection		

Site Visit:	Yes ☒	No ☐
Details: 27/07/2022		

Policy:

National Planning Policy Framework
Planning Practice Guidance

Development Plan:

The Eastleigh Borough Local Plan 2016-2036 The most relevant policies are Strategic policies S1 and S2; and Development Management policies DM1, DM2, DM11 and DM14.

Supplementary Planning Documents:

Quality Places; Residential Parking Standards; Character Area Appraisals.

Assessment:

The development is considered acceptable and complies with policies DM1, DM11 and DM14 from the 2016-2036 local plan, in that:

Principle

- The development is within the urban edge where the principle of development is acceptable.

Design and layout

- The design of the single storey rear extension is suited to the host dwelling in terms of its form, scale and appearance.
- The development would not be an overdevelopment of the site, would retain sufficient amenity space and meet parking standards.
- The design and layout respects the character and appearance of the locality.

Residential amenity

- The development accords with guidance set out in the 'Quality Places' SPD in that there will be no unacceptable impact on the amenity of the neighbouring properties in terms of loss of privacy, light or outlook.

Other material considerations

- There will be no adverse impact upon trees or other natural features worthy of retention.
- There are no likely significant impacts on the SPA.

Climate Change:

In July 2019, the Council declared a Climate Change and Environmental Emergency. In doing so it agreed, among other things, to: (a) put in place measures to ensure the Council's own operations and functions achieve carbon neutrality by 2025; (b) work with partners to aim for all projects and services delivered in the Borough to achieve carbon neutrality by 2030; (c) ensure that the Council's procurement policy recognises carbon neutrality as one of its primary considerations; and (d) recognise the urgency of action to mitigate and adapt to climate change in every decision taken by the Council. This is underpinned by the Climate and Environment Emergency Strategy 2020–2030 and the supporting Climate and Environmental Emergency Action Plan – Update June 2020.

The declaration of the Climate Change and Environmental Emergency demonstrates a strong commitment from the Council to achieve net zero. The NPPF, development plan and adopted local plan policy do not set this as a specific target, but it is a material consideration to be considered alongside all other material considerations. In any case addressing climate change is a core part of the NPPF and local plan policy. The need to support the economy is part of the Strategic Environmental Assessment for the adopted local plan, as is an assessment of climate impacts.

Equalities Implications:

Section 149 of the Equalities Act 2010 created the public sector equality duty. Section 149 states:

- A public authority must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to:
 - a. eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under this Act;

- b. advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;
- c. foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

When making policy decisions, the Council must take account of the equality duty and in particular any potential impact on protected groups. It is considered that this application does not raise any equality implications

Conclusion:		
Recommendation:	PERMIT ☒	REFUSE ☐
Comments ...		