




The Planning
Inspectorate

<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/planning-inspectorate>

COPY for Tree Dept / Planning records.



For official use only

Date Received

Appeal Ref

TREE PRESERVATION ORDER (TPO) APPEAL FORM
The Town and Country Planning (Tree Preservation) (England)
Regulations 2012 – SI No. 605, Regulation 19

Before completing this form please read "Guide for Appellants (Tree Preservation Orders – consents for works)"

WARNING

Your appeal must reach the Planning Inspectorate within 28 days from receipt of the decision against which you are appealing.

Appeals received after the deadline will only be accepted in exceptional circumstances.

If you need this document in large print, on audio tape, in another language or in Braille, please call 0303 444 5000.

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY IN CAPITALS USING BLACK INK

A. APPELLANT

Name **DAVID BEGG**

Address **25 WEAVILL'S ROAD,
BISHOPSTONE,
EASTLEIGH, HANTS,**

Postcode **SO50 8HQ**

Date

Email

I prefer to be contacted by: Email ☒ Post ☐

B. AGENT: If acting on behalf of the appellant, you will be our main contact on all matters relating to this appeal and we will direct all queries and correspondence to you. It will be your responsibility to keep the appellant informed.

Name **DOMINIC BELL**

Organisation **BELL TREE SERVICES**

Address **17 OAKENE GARDENS, FAIR OAK ROAD, FAIR OAK, EASTLEIGH,**

Postcode **SO50 7AL**

Date

Email

I prefer to be contacted by: Email ☒ Post ☐

C. APPEAL SITE

Address where the appeal tree is (trees are) located:

25 WEAVILLS ROAD, BISHOPSTOKE, EASTLEIGH, HAMPS, SO50 8HA

Do you own the site: Yes ☒ No ☐

If no

- 1) give details of your interest in the site (eg tenant, neighbour):
- 2) give the name and address (if different from the above) of the owner and/or occupier of the site. We will need to contact them to make arrangements for our Inspector to gain access to the site:

D. COUNCIL/DECISION

Council: EASTLEIGH BOROUGH COUNCIL.

Council Contact Name: ANDY GRANDFIELD Telephone Number: 02380 688000

Full title of tree preservation order (if known): T/22/93210

Date of Council's decision (if applicable): 21/7/22

E. APPEAL

Please tick only the relevant boxes.

I am appealing against:

The Council's refusal of consent ☒

The Council's failure to issue a decision (deemed refusal of consent) ☐

Condition(s) attached to the consent ☐

The Council's refusal to agree a matter that required their agreement under the terms of a condition of consent. ☐

F. GROUNDS OF APPEAL

Please provide at least one reason for each of the boxes you have ticked in section E. You must explain fully why you disagree with the council's decision, where one has been issued. To do this, you need to go through the reasons for the decision, and explain why you disagree. Only the reasons within the council's decision and the reasons put forward by you at application stage should be included on your appeal form. You should not introduce any new reasons for the appeal.

Your appeal should relate to the same works as those specified in your application to the council. Exceptionally we may be able to accept your appeal even if you are seeking a variation to the works originally applied for. We will only be able to do so if the changes do not materially alter the nature of the application and, if no one who should have been consulted about the proposed works would be prejudiced by our doing so. For instance, you may have originally applied for consent to crown thin a tree by reducing the percentage of leaf area by 20%, whereas on appeal you may seek a lesser reduction of 10%. However if on appeal you appear to be seeking more works than originally applied for, it is recommended that you submit a new application to the council rather than seek to amend your original application at appeal stage because the Inspector will not consider any works above those which were put forward in your application form.

Similar limitations apply in respect of the reasons you give for seeking consent, especially under the fast-track procedure where the council has no opportunity to respond to your grounds of appeal. The Inspector will only consider the matters that were before your council when the decision on your application was made. This means that if the reason you submitted on your application form was that the tree was causing overshadowing, you should not, on appeal make a claim that tree roots are causing subsidence. If you want to make a different case for the work applied for you should make a fresh application to the council.

We will also look at the original application to check that it clearly specifies the proposed work. If we decide that the works you have applied for are so vague that we cannot be sure how much work is involved, we may decide that the appeal is invalid and reject it. For example it is not sufficient to specify that you want to remove some of the lower branches, or you wish to reduce the tree by 15%. This is too vague and fails to indicate the extent of the works.

If you choose the recommended Fast Track appeal procedure (see section G) the reasons you set out below will be treated as your statement of case. It is important that you set them out in full because you will not get an opportunity to add to them later.

The decision on your appeal will take into account the contribution that the tree(s) make to the character and appearance of the area and the reasons set in support of your application/appeal. Please bear in mind that the Planning Inspectorate has no jurisdiction to resolve allegations of maladministration or complaints about the time taken by the Council to issue a decision, since such matters are not relevant to the merits of the proposal.

If you are appealing to fell a tree or against a condition requiring replacement planting please indicate the species and size of any tree(s) you would be prepared to plant if the appeal were to be allowed:

Number of trees and Species:

Size:

F. GROUNDS OF APPEAL (cont)

- TREES HAVE OUTGROWN THEIR POSITIONS / OVERCROWDING / PLANTING .
- NEIGHBOURS COMPLAINTS (4 LETTERS ATTACHED)
- TREES OVERHANGING GARAGES / SHEDS .
- ASH TREE IN THE MIDDLE WHICH IS TO REMAIN TO BE REDUCED BY 2m .

RESPONSE TO REFUSAL OF PROPOSED WORK -

- WORK IS JUSTIFIED AS TREE ROOTS ARE CAUSING DAMAGE TO THE SURROUNDING AREA (AS SHOWN IN PHOTOS) CAUSING CRACKS IN CONCRETE PLUS SIGNS OF ROOT CRAWL UNDER THE GARAGE
- TREES HAVE OUTGROWN THE SITE .

- PLEASE SEE ATTACHED COPIES OF LETTERS FROM APPELLANT PLUS 3 OF HIS NEIGHBOURS

Please continue at section K or on a separate sheet if necessary

G. PROCEDURE – (See Section 9 of the Guide for Appellants before making your choice)

Appeals dealt with by the Fast Track procedure are usually decided more quickly than those which proceed through a hearing or a more formal local inquiry and because of this we recommend the Fast Track procedure.

However, if you or the Council ask to be heard by the Inspector we will organise a hearing which will take the form of a round table discussion. In very exceptional cases, where complex legal matters are at issue, a substantial number of third parties are involved and/or formal cross examination is warranted, we may decide to hold an inquiry.

Please tick one box only.

I wish my appeal to be decided through the Fast Track procedure

☒

I wish to appear and be heard by an Inspector

☐

H. SITE VISIT – Please note: no discussion about the merits of the case will take place during the site visit.

Are you willing for an Inspector to enter the site and conduct the visit unaccompanied?

Yes ☒

No ☐

If Yes, please give details below of anything the Inspector needs to know with regard to how to access the site:

PLEASE PHONE PROPERTY OWNER TO ARRANGE A CONVENIENT APPOINTMENT TIME.

If No, please outline below why this is not possible, why you need to be there or why you need to be represented during the Inspector's visit:

Are there any Health and Safety concerns that the Inspector will need to be aware of prior to the site visit?

Yes ☐

No ☒

If Yes, please detail the concerns below:

I. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

In support of your appeal form please send a copy of your application for consent and a copy of the Council's decision (where one has been issued).

Please tick the boxes to show which documents you are enclosing and list any other documents you are submitting in the space below. If you cannot send a copy of the tree preservation order – we will ask the Council to send a copy together with the relevant background papers.

I enclose:

1. A copy of my application for consent

1

☒

If you do not have a copy of your application please state the council's application reference number here (it should be stated on your decision if one was made):

2. A copy of the Council's decision (if one was issued)

2

☒

J. CONFIRMATION

DECLARATION

1. I understand that:

- a) the Planning Inspectorate may use the information I have given for official purposes in connection with the processing of my appeal.
- b) details from this form, including my name, the site description and reasons for making this appeal may appear on the Appeals Casework Portal.

By signing this form I am agreeing to the above use of the information I have provided.

2. I have completed all sections of the appeal form and confirm that the details are correct to the best of my knowledge.

3. I have signed and enclosed the form and enclosures to the Council.

Signature

Date

9/8/22

Name (in capitals)

DOMINIC JOHN BELL (BELL TREE SERVICES)

On behalf of (if applicable)

DAVID BEGG

For more information about how we process your personal information please see "A Guide for Appellants (Tree Preservation Orders- consents for works)".

Please send the completed form and supporting documents to:

Trees and Hedges
Eagle Wing
Temple Quay House
2 The Square
Temple Quay
Bristol
BS1 6PN

Telephone: 0303 444 5000

or e-mail it to: treeandhedgeappeals@planninginspectorate.gov.uk

What happens next:

1. You must send a copy of this completed form to the Council including copies of any supporting documents you send to us.
2. When we receive your appeal form we will check it against background documents supplied by the Council before telling you whether your appeal is valid.
3. At the end of the appeal process we will send you the Inspector's decision, including the reasoning in writing.

K. SUPPLEMENTARY SHEET

Oaklands Lifestyles

From:
Sent: 27 July 2022 08:41
To:
Subject: Re: Planning Application T/22/93210

Head of Planning

Thank you for your letter dated the 21st July, 2022 regarding the above planning application.

I am extremely disappointed and concerned to note that you have refused consent.

I along with my neighbors consider the three ash trees in question pose a threat to health and safety. My garden which is approximately 60 feet in length is overshadowed by the crowns of the trees by at least 40% if not more to the rear. The fallout from the trees is relentless. Large dead branches frequently fall into my garden along with pigeon droppings. In windy conditions the trees creak, most unnerving bearing in mind their towering height and the fact that the 2 outer trees each lean towards my neighbors outbuildings and garage. Who pays if the boughs break and damage is sustained to my neighbors property because I'm certainly not!

For safety reasons I no longer allow my grandchildren to play underneath the canopy. Nothing will grow apart from weeds and constant new ash tree seeds and saplings. Growing shrubs, fruit or vegetables forget it!

You have chosen not to take into account the impact that these unsuitably large species of tree have in our small back gardens. This was pointed out myself when you refused permission for us to continue to remove the trees and imposed the TPO in the first place.

You state that the proposed work would have an adverse impact upon the visual amenity, ecology, Wildlife and screening of the surrounding area.

I refute this entirely we are talking about Ash trees proliferation throughout the area. Wildlife not much of that I'm afraid as dominated by Pigeons and the odd squirrel. What about the detriment to myself and my neighbors.

The area is surrounded by Stokewood, Strawberry Mead park, Templeton Park and many other wooded areas so I am pretty sure the pigeons etc., would survive very nicely.

I note the Parrish council consider the trees to be healthy and not suffering from ash dieback and are worthy of protection. What about the protection and safety of myself, family and neighbors. Nobody objected when so many healthy ash trees were cut down in Allington Lane to make way for housing! Why am I and my neighbors being treated any differently and unfairly. Pure hypocrisy!

I am now retired and do not have the income or energy to dispose of the fall out from the trees, the moss of which has now spread to the roof of my property! Why should I have to foot the bill for the moss removal! I consider that any future claims expenses resulting from damage caused by the trees to property or injury to life and limb should be met by Eastleigh Borough Council / Bishopstoke Parish Council!

I would be grateful if you would acknowledge this letter as that of a planning application letter of support.

Yours sincerely

Mr J Merritt
16 Hartley Road
Bishopstoke
Eastleigh
SO50 8JB

25 July 2022

Dear Sir/Madam

Eastleigh Borough Council ref Town & Country Planning (Tree Preservation)

Application No: T/22/93210

Description: 3 no ash – group of 3 trees, remove 1 ash either side and keep the middle tree but reduce it by 2 metres. Trees outgrown the available space.

Site: 25 Weavills Road, Bishopstoke, Eastleigh SO50 8HQ

I would like to comment in favour of having the trees removed as per the above application, as I have had a tree surgeon – James Piper – Tel: 07733 141447 undertake an inspection of the trees earlier this year, and he confirms that the trees have ash dieback.

As there are large dead branches overhanging my garden and my neighbours, I feel for health & safety risk on the locating properties these trees should be removed, and the one existing reduced in height if it is not diseased.

Kind Regards

James Merritt

Appeal Re Application No T/22/93210

Site: 25 Weavills Road
Bishopstoke
Eastleigh
SO50 8HQ

Dear Sirs,

I would like to appeal against your decision to Refuse Tree Consent for the following:

Description: 3 no. ash – group of 3 trees. Remove 1 ash either side and keep the middle tree but reduce it by 2 metres. Trees outgrown the available space. At the above site.

Please consider the following information for this appeal.

1. The total growing space for these three trees and two hawthorns is 1.41 mtrs.

The Woodland Trust recommend a minimum planting distance for Ash trees of 6 – 7 metres. There are also two Hawthorns trying to grow in this small space. Ash trees grow to about 25 to 35 metres with a canopy of approx. 20 metres and trunks 1 metre. At present the canopies cover 5 of my neighbours small to medium gardens, all of whom support the proposed work to allow sunlight into their gardens.

2. The distance between the tree trunks and my substantial built double garage is 46 centimetres.

The recommended distance for planting is a minimum of 6m from any building. The roots are already causing damage by lifting the hardstanding beside the garage and there are signs of roots crawling under the garage. It is a known fact that Ash tree roots crawl under buildings and cause damage.

Proposal:


To leave one Ash tree (the middle one) to flourish after dead wood removed. To encourage existing hawthorn plus new planting to grow into a hedge. There may be a short-term visual amenity affect, but from experience an expertly 'knuckled' Ash tree will grow and flourish given the light and space into a beautiful tree, rather than the mess of dense canopies it is now. Ash trees are woodland trees that historically allow light to the woodland floor. No light comes through these canopies.

Encouraging a Hawthorn hedge to grow together with the remaining Ash Tree will provide substantial benefit to wildlife and the environment and will continue to provide a screen between neighbours.

Given the above information please reconsider your decision.

Yours faithfully

David S. B



1
MR R & MRS M Mansford
12, Hartley Road
Bishopstoke,
SO50. 8JB.
26/7/2022.

To the Eastleigh Planning Committee.

Regarding planning request T/22/93210, submitted by the owners of 25 Weavells Rd. Bishopstoke and rejected.

We have lived in the above address 21 years in that time. They have grown out of proportion that is out of hand and not in keeping with a RESIDENTIAL AREA.

During the storms at the beginning of the year the trees were making sounds not noted before, leading me to note they are under STRESS. In parts they look unhealthy, and large overhanging branches.

We are now concerned that another set of storms could bring them down upon our garage and home, also danger to humans.

The pigeons and grey squirrels, have more than enough woods in the area, to rehome themselves.

2

The foliage is unkempt resulting in masses of leaf debris that clog gutters and drains.

Due to our ages and my husband's illness, we now cannot clean these areas ourselves.

Did a member of the planning department visit the trees and visually inspect the site, the trees occupy 99

Down Fork/Lake

