

## The Planning Inspectorate

### COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

**Appeal Reference: APP/W1715/W/22/3312540**

#### DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference APP/W1715/W/22/3312540

Appeal By CK HUTCHISON NETWORKS (UK) LTD

Site Address  
Area of Grass Verge  
Chandlers Ford  
Eastleigh  
Hampshire  
SO53 3FJ  
Grid Ref Easting: 443705  
Grid Ref Northing: 119284

#### SENDER DETAILS

Name MRS ELAINE BYNG

Address  
40 Belmont Road  
Chandler's Ford  
EASTLEIGH  
SO53 3FE

#### ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Appellant
- ☐ Agent
- ☒ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☒ Interested Party/Person Correspondence

☐ Other

### YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

As a resident that lives directly next to the provisional site within 15m of our house & garden. We stand to have the most impact from the mast. It will block our view causing an eyesore from our property. It will not be in keeping with the area. The mast and its accompanying cabinets would be an incongruous addition to the street scene. The development would be visually intrusive, create visual clutter and detract from the area's character and appearance. There are no tall structures in our residential area for the mast to blend in with. So it will stand alone & stand out like a sore thumb. We have some lovely low level trees. The focal point being a beautiful Cherry tree on that corner with a bench under it. Which is used as a meeting point for local residents. I have made enquiries to have a Tree Preservation Order raised on our tree. To protect the conservation of our little local area. The mast would cause a hazard to traffic turning that corner. Which is on a busy bus route. Making the area unsafe. This area is used by local children to play on & where the local ice cream man stops as a safe space for residents. This would all be ruined & unsafe with a mast causing an obstruction on such a small space. My main concerns are for my family and the impact to our lives & health. I am not going to waste my time with my medical fears as I know this will be disregarded. But I have an Autistic child with complex medical needs. The change to the area especially the construction of a mast would cause him great distress. His bedroom window looks straight out over the green. So the impact to him will be devastating. My biggest question though is why put a mast here? In plain fact. The 5G masts that are in our area cover a radius of 3 miles. The mast at the corner of Scott Road in Eastleigh more than covers this area. In fact it covers all the way up to Otterbourne. So in fact we do not need a mast here? We have good 5G coverage. The mast would be better placed between Otterbourne & Winchester where the signal is weaker. Our area & the stretch of M3 motorway that is close to us is adequately covered. So it's very clear by looking at the basic geography that a 5G mast is not required in this area. Surely this is not cost effective to CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Ltd? That they should look at where the 3 mile radius standard cover of a 5G mast ends & look at a site nearer to there. Or they will have to add more than they need costing them more money? & ruining more of the local area. In conclusion I would like to object whole heartedly for the reasons of the environmental impact, the protection of our local conservation, mainly considering the potential impact of the development on the root system of our Cherry tree or even the felling of the tree, the impact to myself my family & my neighbours, visual eyesore & safety, & the fact that it is not needed in this location as we are covered by another 5G mast less than 3 miles away. So it does not need to be built here.