

Eastleigh Borough Council Tree Preservation Order and Conservation Area Assessment Form

Application Number	T/23/95573					
Surveyor	SK					
Address	PLOVERFIELD SOUTH, PLOVERFIELD, LONG LANE, BURSLEDON, SOUTHAMPTON, SO31 8DA					
Proposed work	1 no. Ash (T1) within Copse northern boundary of neighbouring property - Sectional fell to just above ground level due to concerns of ash dieback.					
Assessment Date	15/08/23					
Officer comments	Access not possible at time of visit, and unable to determine exactly which is the tree in question – which is on neighbours' land. Tree is covered by both a TPO 28E and Old Bursledon Conservation Area. Insufficient evidence is supplied with the application to meet the requirement where the applicant does have concerns over condition or damage, Regulation 16(1) of The Town, and Country Planning (Tree Preservation) (England) Regulations 2012 states “<i>appropriate evidence describing any structural damage to property or in relation to tree health or safety, as applicable</i>”, is to be supplied with the application. Therefore, written arboricultural advice or other diagnostic information from an appropriate expert should be submitted with an application. The application is submitted for a CA, but the tree is covered by a TPO as well, so this requirement stands for any future application. SK did check with the agent to see if there was any further evidence that will support an application at this time and there is not.					
Decision	Consent	Refuse	Part consent/ Part refuse	Withdrawn / Invalid	Conservation Area	
					Raise no objection	TPO
		X				
Decision notice	REFUSE: 1 no. Ash (T1) Fell.					
Conditions	N/A					
Notes to applicant	Appropriate evidence is needed to support a TPO application if the condition changes in future.					
Parish comments	Our Tree Consultant made the following comments This large ash tree is indeed showing early signs of die-back and it has also become unbalanced so that it could fall or drop large branches into a frequented part of the garden. It has been (inexpertly) pruned in the past. The applicant, who is not the owner, did not seem to realise that the tree is within a TPO area, however there is no public amenity factor involved. I noted that a nearby ash in a neighbouring property was showing more					

	advanced symptoms of die-back. Because of this, I think the application to fell is justified rather than attempting to manage the health and safety of the tree.		
Public objection/ support	Support	Objection	Observation
	0	0	0
Objection/ support consideration	The Parish comment has been noted. However, for the reasons stated above, the application is refused at this time.		