

Eastleigh Borough Council
Eastleigh House
Upper Market Street
Eastleigh
SO50 9YN

Hampshire 2050
The Castle
Winchester, Hampshire SO23 8UD

Telephone: 0300 555 1375
Fax: 01962 847055

www.hants.gov.uk

Enquiries To Matt Grantham

My reference 051127

Direct Line

Your reference PN/23/96033

Date 16 October 2023

Email eastleighdc@hants.gov.uk

Dear Mrs Errington,

PEMBERS HILL FARM, MORTIMERS LANE, FAIR OAK, EASTLEIGH, SO50 7EA

Prior Approval Notification: new agricultural access to land to north west of Pembers Farm Avenue

In regard to application PN/23/96033, having reviewed the information contained within the application pack, additional information would be requested in regard to:

A. How the land to be accessed from the proposed 'new agricultural access' has previously been accessed with both the Pembers Hill residential development in place, and previously during the construction phase.

It is assumed that given a new access is only now being requested, with the development in place for some time now, that alternative routes have been previously utilised. As such it is questioned why these routes cannot continue? A Plan demonstrating these routes would be advantageous; and

B. In terms of vehicle flows anticipated with the agricultural use that the farm gate access will enable, it is understood that the land use is permanent pasture and is therefore used for a hay/ silage crop with aftermath grazing, usually by sheep. Vehicle access will be relatively low in number with an anticipation of:

1 - Standard applicant vehicle movements associated with visiting the land once per week for checking up and maintenance (2 vehicle movements per day);

2 -.Between May and August movements would increase for the silage or hay crop for a period of approximately one week. This would involve about 10 visits (20 vehicle

Director of Hampshire 2050
Garry Westbrook

movements over a week); and

3 - October to January the access would enable entry to check sheep grazing for a period of approximately six weeks. Daily visits to check animal welfare would be required (2 vehicle movements per day).

Given the above intended site uses, whilst associated vehicle flows would essentially be relatively low, it would be requested that confirmation of vehicle types to be utilised for the 3 site use periods is given. If these are of simple agricultural vehicles (i.e. Land Rover), then there would be no objection to the proposals.

If however larger vehicles would be required (possibly for period 2?), then details of these should be provided, inclusive of anticipated flow levels of each vehicle type, and associated management plans of how the vehicles can safely gain access through a residential area.

If these additional details can be provided, then a reassessment of the application can be undertaken accordingly.

Yours sincerely,

Matt Grantham

Director of Hampshire 2050
Gary Westbrook