

Eastleigh Borough Council Tree Preservation Order and Conservation Area Assessment Form

Application Number	T/23/96060
Surveyor	Charlotte Holloway
Address	77 LAKEWOOD ROAD, CHANDLER'S FORD, EASTLEIGH, SO53 5AD
Proposed work	1 no. Beech (T67) - Reduce and reshape by up to 2m. Crown lift 4m and crown clean. 1 no. Larch (T66)- Reduce over-extended branches by up to 2m to improve shape and reduce risk of branch snap. 2 no. Oaks (G24)- Crown clean and lift up to 4m. Removal of lowest branch over road for the avoidance of doubt. 1 no. Norway Maple (T65) - Crown clean and trimming and shaping by up to 2m. 1 no. Yew (T64A) - Reduce by 1m including around streetlamp.
Assessment Date	24/10/23
Officer comments	T67 is a mature beech within the rear of the property. It can be viewed from the highway, including from Randall Road. It is considered to have fair amenity value. The tree appears in good health. The applicant has proposed a reduction of the crown by up to 2m and lift it up to 4m from ground level. This is reasonable for the tree within its location and unlikely to affect overall amenity or long-term health of the tree. T66 is a mature larch, it is set back beyond a group of mature trees. It offers immediate amenity to those in close proximity, however, has limited view from the public realm. The tree is overall in good health. The applicant has proposed to reduce 'over extended' branches up to 2m. This is reasonable for the tree within its location and unlikely to affect overall amenity or long-term health of the tree. G2 is situated along the boundary fronting the property. The trees have high visual amenity value. Both oaks appear in good health and offer sufficient clearance over the highway. The applicant has proposed to crown lift trees up to 4m from ground level. This is reasonable for the management of the trees within their location. The applicant has also proposed to remove the lowest lateral over the road. Whilst the applicant has not stated which tree this is on, it is presumed they are requesting to remove the lowest lateral on the southern oak. This is a substantial limb which would leave a large pruning wound. No features were noted on site that would support its removal. BS3998:2012 states <i>the diameter of the final cut should generally not exceed one-third of that of the parent stem. If the tree is old the maximum diameter of individual cuts should be even smaller.</i> The applicant has stated that they do not have fears the tree

	might break or fall. Regulation 16(1) of The Town and Country Planning (Tree Preservation) (England) Regulations 2012 states “<i>appropriate evidence describing any structural damage to property or in relation to tree health or safety, as applicable</i>”, therefore, written arboricultural advice or other diagnostic information from an appropriate expert should have been submitted to support the request to remove such a substantial limb. Whilst on site the applicant raised a concern that the southern tree was leaning. The tree appears to have a form consistent with a tree growing adjacent to another mature tree. No movement was noted within the footplate area. Whilst inspecting a fruiting fungal body, likely <i>Grifola frondosa</i> was noted. The applicant was made aware. Should they have any concern, it is recommended they seek the advice from an appropriate professional. T65 is along the front boundary of the property. It is adjacent to the highway and has high visual amenity value. The tree is a mature specimen and appears in overall good health. The applicant has proposed to prune the tree up to 2m. This is reasonable for the tree within its location and unlikely to affect overall amenity or long-term health of the tree. T64A is an understory yew in good health. It is along the front boundary of the property and adjacent to the public highway. It has good amenity value. The tree is clear of the lamp column. The applicant has proposed to reduce the canopy by up to 1m. This work appears unnecessary; however, it is acknowledged that work is unlikely to affect overall amenity or long-term health of the tree. The applicant has proposed to crown clean T67, G24 and T65. Crown clean is not a specification and does not form part of BS3998:2012 Tree Work Recommendations. Should the applicant wish to remove deadwood, Under Regulation 14(1) of The Town and Country Planning (Tree Preservation) (England) Regulations 2012 dead wood is exempt from the need to submit an application, therefore can be removed. Where the applicant was proposing work beyond that of deadwood removal as part of a crown clean, this will need to be applied for via an application and should include a full specification.					
Decision						
	Consent	Refuse	Part consent/ Part refuse	Withdrawn / Invalid	Conservation Area	
					Raise no objection	TPO
			X			
Decision notice	REFUSE: 1 no. Beech (T67) - Crown clean. 2 no. Oaks (G24)- Crown clean and removal of lowest branch over road					

	1 no. Norway Maple (T65) - Crown clean CONSENT: 1 no. Beech (T67) - Reduce and reshape by up to 2m and crown lift up to 4m above ground level. 1 no. Larch (T66)- Reduce over-extended branches by up to 2m 2 no. Oaks (G24)- Crown lift up to 4m above ground level. 1 no. Norway Maple (T65) - Trimming and shaping by up to 2m. 1 no. Yew (T64A) - Reduce by 1m including around streetlamp.		
Conditions	Standard quality and time		
Notes to applicant	None		
Parish comments	None		
Public objection/ support	Support	Objection	Observation
	0	0	0
Objection/ support consideration	n/a		