

I have read the new planning application regarding No.9 Mariners Close in detail and I'm saddened that the new plans don't propose a solution to any of my previous points raised. Due to the sheer size and nature of the development proposed and as the photos below show, the alterations put forward will not make a difference to the points raised below.

1. Over Development of area

1. The vast majority of the houses in the close are true to the original builds/structures, with modernisation being carried out within the original footprint or with no impact on public views or neighbours light and privacy, so the vast nature of this development/property is out of character and will stick out significantly compared to the other properties and completely alter the aesthetic of the close.

1. Loss of Light

1. Our neighbours at No.8 will still suffer a significant loss of light to their kitchen downstairs and their bathroom windows upstairs. The new plans haven't taken this into consideration at all, especially as No.8 is built below No.9 due to the increment of the hill, so there will be very little natural light reaching their kitchen window which is behind their garage for most of the day if this goes ahead. Please see photo enclosed below demonstrating how close these windows will be to the proposed extension.



2. Overbearing nature of the development

The river view will still be completely blocked from the front garden, living room and both front bedrooms and have a huge impact on the enjoyment that the view brings to living at No1 and to our family. The alterations will only mean we will be able to see the tops of the trees. We have lived in the close since it was built and therefore have many happy memories of looking at the beautiful view and how it changes over the seasons, watching the wildlife including deer's roam in these spaces from our house which will be completely lost.

1. Overlooking/loss of privacy

1. The new two storey extension will directly overlook our two front bedrooms, living room and front garden therefore representing a loss of privacy. It is also a significant concern that the house will be flipped, as it means we will be overlooked all day throughout all daylight hours.
1. No.8 will suffer a significant loss of privacy, with their property and garden being totally overlooked all day long.

1. Aesthetic impact/visually intrusive

1. As anyone drives into the close, or past as they enter the other closes, the view of the river that everyone enjoys residents or not will still be totally lost for everyone if this goes ahead. When friends, family, taxi drivers, delivery drivers, visitors using the river for pleasure or sport etc enter the close they always comment on what a beautiful spot and view it is, and they didn't realise how close they were to the water. There are not many uninterrupted views of the river right across to the beautiful Warsash shore along satchel lane when walking or driving to the village and I often see cars stop to watch and take photos the sunset or sun shining on the water framed by the reeds from the top of our road. That view belongs to everyone, and it would be such a shame to lose it due to this development. It is what makes this area and Hamble so incredibly special and without it, it could be any other housing estate anywhere in England. The alterations to the development won't change this, the pitch of the roof means that the public and visitors will now be able to see the tops of the trees and as the new plans show that the current footprint will be bought forward and significantly higher than the current roofline the public view across the river will be totally lost.



1. Highway safety/parking

1. The development will still mean a loss of private parking for No 9 and therefore will represent an issue for parking for the tradesman and residents alike.
2. Access is already very narrow with the limited communal spaces that tend to be full most days with both visitors to the creek, slipway and houses already.
3. The house size does not match the driveway size.

Neighbour Objection from Rebecca Winser



1. Loss of trees

1. There is a large tree that has always been there directly behind the property that I would be concerned for the roots and health of if the development was to go ahead.