

Morris, Rachael

From: Panza, Yacklin
Sent: 18 August 2026 09:05
To: Morris, Rachael
Subject: RE: [DC/26/101673] 91B THE CRESCENT, EASTLEIGH, SO50 9BJ

Morning Rachel,

I can confirm that the CEMP submitted satisfactorily addresses my previous comments. I recommend the discharge Condition 8 (CEMP) of F/25/100154.

Best regards,

Yacklin

Yacklin Panza

Pollution Control Specialist

Planning & Environment - Pollution

Ext.: 8058

Mobile: 07557 327776

From: Morris, Rachael <Rachael.Morris@eastleigh.gov.uk>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2026 8:03 AM
To: Panza, Yacklin <Yacklin.Panza@eastleigh.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: [DC/26/101673] 91B THE CRESCENT, EASTLEIGH, SO50 9BJ

Hi Yacklin,

This should hopefully address your points now. Please let me know.

Thank you,
Rachael

Rachael Morris

Senior Planning Officer

Planning & Environment - Planning/Development Management

Ext.: 8463

From: colin@regalhomesuk.com <colin@regalhomesuk.com>
Sent: 14 August 2026 13:49
To: Morris, Rachael <Rachael.Morris@eastleigh.gov.uk>; 'Craig Hoyland' <craig@regalhomesuk.com>
Subject: RE: [DC/26/101673] 91B THE CRESCENT, EASTLEIGH, SO50 9BJ

Hi Rachael,

Please find revised CEMP showing pollution control (no.6) I have also added a site plan to show areas to be managed.

Kind regards,

Colin.

Colin Zaczyk | Director
T: 02380644722 | M: 07899876007
E: colin@regalhomesuk.com
W: www.regalhomesuk.com



The company accepts no liability for the content of this email, or for the consequences of any actions taken on the basis of the information provided, unless that information is subsequently confirmed in writing. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

From: Morris, Rachael <Rachael.Morris@eastleigh.gov.uk>
Sent: 13 August 2026 15:53
To: Craig Hoyland <craig@regalhomesuk.com>
Cc: Colin Zaczyk <colin@regalhomesuk.com>
Subject: RE: [DC/26/101673] 91B THE CRESCENT, EASTLEIGH, SO50 9BJ

Hi Craig,

Just looking at this and the CEMP you sent with updated comments from HCC have not addressed the comments made by Pollution Control.

Please can this be actioned.

Kind regards,
Rachael

Rachael Morris
Senior Planning Officer

Planning & Environment - Planning/Development Management
023 8068 8463



Eastleigh Borough Council | Eastleigh House | Upper Market Street | Eastleigh | SO50 9YN

From: Panza, Yacklin <Yacklin.Panza@eastleigh.gov.uk>
Sent: 22 July 2026 16:05
To: Craig Hoyland <craig@regalhomesuk.com>; Morris, Rachael <Rachael.Morris@eastleigh.gov.uk>
Cc: Colin Zaczyk <colin@regalhomesuk.com>
Subject: RE: [DC/26/101673] 91B THE CRESCENT, EASTLEIGH, SO50 9BJ

Good afternoon,

I can confirm the comments provided below for ground gas precautionary measures, excavated soils and disposal, and importation of soils for garden areas satisfactorily address my previous concerns, and therefore, it is recommended that Condition 4 of F/25/100154 is discharged.

I will wait for further updates on the CEMP.

Best regards,

Yacklin

Yacklin Panza

Pollution Control Specialist

Planning & Environment - Pollution

Ext.: 8058

Mobile: 07557 327776

From: Craig Hoyland <craig@regalhomesuk.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 21, 2026 3:30 PM

To: Morris, Rachael <Rachael.Morris@eastleigh.gov.uk>

Cc: Panza, Yacklin <Yacklin.Panza@eastleigh.gov.uk>; Colin Zaczyk <colin@regalhomesuk.com>

Subject: Re: [DC/26/101673] 91B THE CRESCENT, EASTLEIGH, SO50 9BJ

You don't often get email from craig@regalhomesuk.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Good afternoon both,

Please see comments from Apple re condition 4, hopefully this is now acceptable?

Will be in touch when we have a revised CEMP for condition

"Good afternoon

Further to your comments regarding the site investigation report I can confirm that sub-floor ventilation was a precautionary recommendation and one in which the clients will be undertaking anyway by means of block and beam flooring. It was not intended to be mechanical in nature and only passively as a precautionary measure.

In regard to material on-site, the site will be excavated to a depth of 1m for foundations and removed off-site to a waste carrier. If any contaminated soils are noted during the excavation, then this will be paused whilst someone from our team attends the site and assesses the situation.

If soil is imported for gardens, then independently confirmatory sampling will be undertaken in-situ and tested for a full contamination suite.

Kind regards

Laura Charman

Laura Charman AFOH Tech IoA

Environmental Consultant
Apple Environmental Limited
Unit 3 Estevan Farm
Stoney Lane
Medstead, Alton
Hampshire GU34 5EL

Tel: 01420 563377 / 07787 822288

Email: laura@appleenvironmental.co.uk

Web: [www.appleenvironmental.co.uk]www.appleenvironmental.co.uk

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this e-mail in error please notify Apple Environmental Limited immediately via info@appleenvironmental.co.uk

Please note: This message has been scanned for viruses and dangerous content by Kaspersky antivirus software (2026) version), and is believed to be clean."

Cheers

Craig

Sent from my iPhone

On 21 Jul 2026, at 08:40, Morris, Rachael <Rachael.Morris@eastleigh.gov.uk> wrote:

Hi Craig,

Please see the below comments from our pollution control consultant where some changes and clarifications are required.

Thank you.

Kind regards,
Rachael

Rachael Morris

Senior Planning Officer

Planning & Environment - Planning/Development Management

023 8068 8463

This email is subject to recording and/or monitoring. Any content or attachments are for the person to whom it is addressed and may be confidential.

If you get it by mistake, please email us back as soon as possible, and then delete it from your system; please do not pass it on to anyone else or use the information in it.

We do our best to guard against viruses. If you get a virus, we cannot accept liability for any damage.

You should carry out your own virus check before you open attachments.

Eastleigh Borough Council

From: Panza, Yacklin <Yacklin.Panza@eastleigh.gov.uk>

Sent: 20 July 2026 13:02

To: Morris, Rachael <Rachael.Morris@eastleigh.gov.uk>

Subject: [DC/26/101673] 91B THE CRESCENT, EASTLEIGH, SO50 9BJ - Condition 4 and 8

Dear Rachel,

Thanks for consulting the Pollution Team on the planning application DC/26/101673 for the Discharge of conditions 4 (contamination investigation) and 8 (CEMP) of application F/25/100154 for 'Construction of 2No. two bedroom and 4No. three bedroom terrace dwellings with associated parking and landscaping following demolition of existing site structures' 91B THE CRESCENT, EASTLEIGH, SO50 9BJ.

I have reviewed the following documents:

1. Apple Environmental (May 2026). Intrusive contaminated Land Investigation. 91b The Crescent. Eastleigh. Hampshire. SO50 9BJ
2. Signature Homes (no date). 91B The Crescent. Eastleigh. SO50 9BJ. Construction Environmental Management Plan

Please see my comments below :

Condition 4

The Apple Environmental report comprises the findings of an intrusive investigation undertaken on 12/03/2026 comprising No. 8 boreholes distributed across the site with collection of soil samples for laboratory analysis and installation of No. 5 ground gas monitoring wells. An exploratory location plan was included. The key findings of this investigation are summarised below:

3. The ground conditions encountered were sand with flint fragments from ground level to 1.0 to 1.5m bgl over sandy gravel up to 1.8mbgl over sandy silty clay up to 2.0mbgl. Made ground (Bricks) were encountered up to 1.2mbgl at location BH06.
4. A total of No. 16 soil samples were collected. The laboratory results were included in the report. Results were compared against the residential with home produce CLEA scenario. No exceedances were detected on any of the contaminants of concerns and no asbestos fibres were detected.
5. Ground gas monitoring was undertaken on 4 occasions including period of falling atmospheric pressure conditions. Methane concentrations were not detected on any occasion, and CO2 was recorded up to 2.1%. No gas flow was detected. The ground gas assessment concludes that the site's risk is considered low and no ground gas protection measures are required.
6. The report includes a revised conceptual site model and risk assessment. Based on the absence of any identified contamination on the site, it is considered that the risk of contaminated land is low.
7. The report recommends that although there were no requirements for remedial actions, implementation of sub-floor ventilation as precaution against the unexpected accumulation of ground gas.

8. A discovery strategy for unexpected contamination should be in place during construction works.

I generally agree with the findings of the investigation; however, would like to have clarification on why they recommend sub-floor ventilation if gas risk was determined to be low, and how this will be achieved, and also whether materials on site will be reused or disposed of as it appears to be not included, before discharging Condition 4.

In addition, if soil is imported for gardens, we would like to see evidence of this material to be free from contamination (condition 6).

Condition 8

The CEMP report includes the proposed site plan and description of site development, brief programme of works, working hours, traffic management, site security, and broad environmental control measures. The specific requirements for condition 8 are as below (see comments in green):

1. location of temporary site buildings, compounds, construction material and plant storage areas used during demolition and construction – no site plan with location of site compounds, material, storage and parking areas was included.
2. the arrangements for the routing/ turning of lorries and details for construction traffic access to the site – construction traffic measures are included in section 3.2
3. the arrangements for deliveries associated with all construction works, loading/ unloading of plant & materials and restoration of any damage to the highway [including vehicle crossovers and grass verges]. Delivery measures presented in section 3.2. Not shown on site plan
4. the parking of vehicles of site operatives and visitors; - no parking provision was shown in the site plan
5. measures to control the emission of dust and dirt generated by demolition and construction; - broad dust controls were mentioned in section 7.2, specific details on this are required
6. a scheme for controlling noise and vibration from demolition and construction activities (to include piling); mention to best practices and RAMS, no details provided
7. provision for storage, collection, and disposal of rubbish from the development during construction period; waste will be segregated and removed by a licensed carrier. No plan showing details of areas of storage/ collection of waste has been provided
8. measures to prevent mud and dust on the highway during demolition and construction; briefly mentioned in section 7.3. No details provided on location of wheel washing facility, etc
9. the erection and maintenance of security hoarding including decorative displays and facilities for public viewing, where appropriate; included in section 3.5
10. temporary lighting; it was considered that due to nature of works and surrounding area no perimeter lighting will be provided, lighting will be used on need by need basis and switch off during non-working hours. This is considered acceptable.
11. protection of pedestrian routes during construction. Segregation routes not presented in site plan.

We recommend the CEMP is revised to include site-specific environmental control measures for noise, vibration, dust and others, as well as a site plan to visually present areas of site's compound and welfare, storage of plants, materials and waste, pedestrian routes, access, egress and delivery areas, wheel washing facilities and any other relevant features as discussed above. Please see attached a document produced by Pollution Team in relation information we expected to see from a CEMP.

Based on the above we do not recommend the discharge of conditions 4 and 8 of F/25/100154 until the documents above are revised and submitted again for review and approval.

I trust the above information is of assistance. If you have any further queries, please do not hesitate in contacting us.

Best regards,

Yacklin

Yacklin Panza

Pollution Control Specialist

Planning & Environment - Pollution

Ext.: 8058

Mobile: 07557 327776

Banner_Eastleigh_Active