

Morris, Rachael

From: Panza, Yacklin
Sent: 13 March 2024 11:30
To: Morris, Rachael
Subject: [F/24/96865] THE WIDE LANE PAVILION, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON SPORTS GROUND, WIDE LANE, EASTLEIGH, SO50 5PE - Pollution comments

Hi Rachel,

Hope you are well.

Thanks for consulting the Pollution Team on the planning application F/24/96865 for the Erection of floodlighting and associated development at The Wide Lane Pavilion, University of Southampton Sports Ground, Wide Lane, Eastleigh, SO50 05PE. I have reviewed following documents accompanied by the application:

- Bidwells. Pitch lighting at Wide Lane Sports Centre. Planning statement. Dated 09/02/2024.
- Arup. Wide Lane Sports Centre. Floodlighting Plan. Dated 19/01/2024. Drawing Reference: 293368-ARUP-ZZ-XX-DR-L-0102 - P02.
- Arup. Geotechnical and Geo-environmental desk study. Dated 23/03/2023. Reference: 293368-ARUP-ZZ-XX-RP-CG-001. (submitted for planning F/23/95045).

Please see my comments below:

Firstly, I would like to point out that the Pollution Team have not been consulted on the previous application F/23/95045 for the installation of 2no. synthetic sports pitches, erection of fencing including new pedestrian access route, associated engineering operations and landscaping. However, I have reviewed some of the documents submitted as part of this application.

The erection of the floodlights involves excavation of foundation of 2700m x 2700mm, 1500mm deep. The planning statement (Bidwells, 2024) indicates that any soil arising at the site would be incorporated back into the ground. The desk study (Arup, 2023) indicates that construction works involve the excavation of material between 0.5m to 1.0m below existing ground level and that there will not be any re-use material and that all material will be disposed off site. There is therefore a discrepancy between the CEMP included in the planning statement and the desk study report, can the applicant clarify the re-use or disposal of any arisings resulting from the excavations?

The desk study also recommends watching brief during works for unexpected contamination. This is not mentioned in section 4 of the CEMP provided as part of the planning statement.

The site is close to Monks Brook river. No considerations on safeguard measures to avoid pollution to surface waters by sediments (including soils, mud, clay, silt and sand) from the erosion of exposed soils are included in section 4 of the CEMP provided as part of the planning statement.

In terms of the lighting, there are not sensible receptors within the vicinity and no potential nuisance is anticipated on this regard.

In terms of noise from construction works, the CEMP provided within the planning statement indicates noise would be limited and no mitigation measures are envisaged. No potential nuisance is anticipated on this regard.

In conclusion, we support the application but require additional information to be included in the CEMP as described above.

I hope the above information is of assistance.

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate in contact us.

Best regards,

Yacklin

Yacklin Panza

Pollution Control Specialist

Planning & Environment - Pollution

Ext.: 8058

Mobile: 07557 327776

Our Survey is Open!

Please take a few moments to share your views about our workplace. Your feedback will go directly to Great Place to Work® and is in complete confidence.

FIND OUT MORE AT GREATPLACETOWORK.CO.UK



banner staffsurvey1