



Aviation Glint and Glare Assessment

PEI Genesis Solar Array

19/02/2024



Disclaimer

Neo Environmental Limited shall have no liability for any loss, damage, injury, claim, expense, cost or other consequence arising as a result of use or reliance upon any information contained in or omitted from this document.

Copyright © 2024

The material presented in this report is confidential. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Syzygy Consulting. The report shall not be distributed or made available to any other company or person without the knowledge and written consent of Syzygy Consulting or Neo Environmental Ltd.

Neo Environmental Ltd	
Head Office - Glasgow: Wright Business Centre, 1 Lonmay Road, Glasgow. G33 4EL T 0141 773 6262 E: info@neo-environmental.co.uk	
Warrington Office: Cinnamon House, Crab Lane, Warrington, WA2 0XP. T: 01925 661 716 E: info@neo-environmental.co.uk	Rugby Office: Valiant Suites, Lumonics House, Valley Drive, Swift Valley, Rugby, Warwickshire, CV21 1TQ. T: 01788 297012 E: info@neo-environmental.co.uk
Ireland Office: C/O Origin Enterprises PLC, 4-6 Riverwalk, Citywest Business Campus Dublin 24, D24 DCWO. T: 00 353 (0)45 844250 E: info@neo-environmental.ie	Northern Ireland Office: 83-85 Bridge Street Ballymena, Co. Antrim BT43 5EN T: 0282 565 04 13 E: info@neo-environmental.co.uk

Prepared For:

Syzygy Consulting

**Prepared By:**

David Thomson BSc (Hons) MSc PGDip

Tom Saddington BEng, MSc.

Michael McGhee TechIOA BSc



	Name	Date
Edited By:	Tom Saddington	19/02/2024
Checked By:	Michael McGhee	19/02/2024
	Name	Signature
Approved By	Paul Neary	

Contents

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
2. INTRODUCTION	6
Background	6
Development Description	6
Scope of Report	6
Statement of Authority	7
Definitions	7
3. LEGISLATION AND GUIDANCE	9
National Planning Policy Guidance (NPPG) on Renewable and Low Carbon Energy (UK)	9
Planning Guidance for the Development of Large-Scale Ground Mounted Solar PV Systems	9
Interim CAA Guidance – Solar Photovoltaic Systems (2010)	10
CAA – CAP738: Safeguarding of Aerodromes 3 rd Edition.....	11
US Federal Aviation Administration Policy	12
FAA Policy: Review of Solar Energy Systems Projects on Federally - Obligated Airports	13
Review of Local Plan	14
4. METHODOLOGY	15
Sun Position and Reflection Model	15
Identification of Receptors	17
Magnitude of Impact.....	18
5. BASELINE CONDITIONS	20
6. IMPACT ASSESSMENT	24
Aviation Receptors	24
7. Mitigation	25
8. SUMMARY	26
9. APPENDICES	27
Appendix A: Figures.....	27
Appendix B: Aviation Receptor Glare Results	27
Appendix C: Solar Module Glare and Reflectance Technical Memo.....	27

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1. A 30km study area is chosen for receptors. 22 aviation assets are located within 30km of the Proposed Development. Only Southampton Airport and Lee-on-Solent Airport required detailed assessments due to the Proposed Development falling within their respective safeguarding buffer zones outlined in **paragraph 4.20**.
- 1.2. Geometric analysis was conducted for two runway approach paths and one Air Traffic Control Tower (ATCT) at Southampton Airport and two runway approach paths and one ATCT at Lee-on-Solent Airport.
- 1.3. The assessment concludes that:
- Southampton: No glare is predicted to impact the Runway 02 approach path. Only green glare is predicted to impact upon the Runway 20 approach path, which is an **acceptable impact**, and the ATCT, which is a **not acceptable impact** according to FAA guidance. Therefore, the impact upon Southampton Airport is **Significant**.
 - Lee-on-Solent: No was predicted to impact upon the runway approach paths or ATCT at Lee-on-Solent Airport. Therefore, the impact upon Lee-on-Solent Airport is **None**.
 - Overall impacts on aviation receptors are **not acceptable and Significant**.
- 1.4. Mitigation measures are required due to the **Significant** impacts upon the ATCT at Southampton Airport. This includes omission of the northern-most panel area. With these mitigation measures in place the impacts upon the ATCT are **None**, and therefore the overall impacts are **Low** and **Not Significant**.

2. INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

- 2.1. Neo Environmental Ltd has been appointed by Syzygy Consulting (the “Applicant”) to undertake a Glint and Glare Assessment for a proposed solar array development (the “Proposed Development”) on the roof of PEI Genesis (the “Application Site”).
- 2.2. Please see **Figure 1: Appendix A** for the roof layout of the Proposed Development.

DEVELOPMENT DESCRIPTION

- 2.3. The Proposed Development comprises of a roof mounted solar array being installed on the roof of PEI Genesis, Southampton.

SCOPE OF REPORT

- 2.4. Although there may be small amounts of glint and glare from the metal structures associated with the solar array, the main source of glint and glare will be from the panels themselves and this will be the focus of this assessment.
- 2.5. Solar panels are designed to absorb as much light as possible and not to reflect it. However, glint can be produced as a reflection of the sun from the surface of the solar PV panel. This can also be described as a momentary flash. This may be an issue due to visual impact and viewer distraction on ground-based receptors and on aviation.
- 2.6. Glare is significantly less intense in comparison to glint and can be described as a continuous source of bright light, relative to diffused lighting. This is not a direct reflection of the sun, but a reflection of the sky around the sun.
- 2.7. This report will concentrate on the impacts of glint and glare and their effects on aviation assets and will be supported with the following Appendices:
- Appendix A: Figures
 - Figure 1: Site Layout
 - Figure 2: Southampton Airport Aerodrome Chart
 - Figure 3: Lee-on-Solent Airport Aerodrome Chart

- Appendix B: Aviation Receptor Glare Results
- Appendix C: Solar Module Glare and Technical Memo

STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY

- 2.8. This Glint and Glare Assessment has been produced by Tom Saddington, Michael McGhee and David Thomson of Neo Environmental. Having completed a civil engineering degree in 2012, Michael has produced Glint and Glare assessments for over 1GW of solar farm developments across the UK and Ireland. Tom has an undergraduate degree in Bioengineering and graduated with an MSc in Environmental and Energy Engineering in January 2020. He has been working on various technical assessments including glint and glare reports for numerous solar farms in Ireland and the UK. David has an undergraduate degree in physics, as well as a MSc in sensor design, a MSc in nanoscience and a Diploma in Acoustics and Noise Control. He is an Environmental Engineer who has worked on numerous Glint and Glare assessments for solar farms across the UK and Ireland.

DEFINITIONS

- 2.9. This study examined the potential hazard and nuisance effects of glint and glare in relation to ground-based receptors, this includes the occupants of surrounding dwellings as well as road users. The FAA in their *“Technical Guidance for Evaluating Selected Solar Technologies on Airports”*¹ have defined the terms ‘Glint’ and ‘Glare’ as meaning;
- Glint – *“A momentary flash of bright light”*
 - Glare – *“A continuous source of bright light”*
- 2.10. Glint and glare are essentially the unwanted reflection of sunlight from reflective surfaces. This study used a multi-step process of elimination to determine which receptors have the potential to experience the effects of glint and glare. It then examined, using a computer-generated geometric model, the times of the year and the times of the day such effects could occur. This is based on the relative angles between the sun, the panels, and the receptor throughout the year.

1 Harris, Miller, Miller & Hanson Inc. (April 2018). Technical Guidance for Evaluating Selected Solar Technologies on Airports; 3.1.2 Reflectivity. Technical Guidance for Evaluating Selected Solar Technologies on Airports. Available at: <https://www.faa.gov/sites/faa.gov/files/airports/environmental/FAA-Airport-Solar-Guide-2018.pdf>

General Nature of Reflectance from Photovoltaic Panels

- 2.11. In terms of reflectance, photovoltaic solar panels are by no means a highly reflective surface. They are designed to absorb sunlight and not to reflect it. Nonetheless, photovoltaic panels have a flat polished surface, which omits 'specular' reflectance rather than a 'diffuse' reflectance, which would occur from a rough surface. Several studies have shown that photovoltaic panels (as opposed to Concentrated Solar Power) have similar reflectance characteristics to water, which is much lower than the likes of glass, steel, snow and white concrete by comparison (See Appendix C). Similar levels of reflectance can be found in rural environments from the likes of shed roofs and the lines of plastic mulch used in cropping. In terms of the potential for reflectance from photovoltaic panels to cause hazard and/ or nuisance effects, there have been a number of studies undertaken in respect of schemes in close proximity to airports. The most recent of these was compiled by the Solar Trade Association (STA) in April 2016 and used a number of case studies and expert opinions, including that from Neo. The summary of this report states that *"the STA does not believe that there is cause for concern in relation to the impact of glint and glare from solar PV on aviation and airports..."*².

Time Zones / Datum's

- 2.12. Locations in this report are given in Eastings and Northings using the 'British National Grid' grid reference system unless otherwise stated.
- 2.13. England uses British Summer Time (BST, UTC + 01:00) in the summer months and Greenwich Mean Time (UTC+0) in the winter period. For the purposes of this report all time references are in GMT.

² Solar Trade Association. (April 2016). Summary of evidence compiled by the Solar Trade Association to help inform the debate around permitted development for non - domestic solar PV in Scotland. Impact of solar PV on aviation and airports. Available at: <http://www.solar-trade.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/STA-glint-and-glare-briefing-April-2016-v3.pdf>

3. LEGISLATION AND GUIDANCE

NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY GUIDANCE (NPPG) ON RENEWABLE AND LOW CARBON ENERGY (UK) ³

3.1. Paragraph 013 (Reference ID: 5-013-20150327) sets out planning considerations that relate to large scale ground-mounted solar PV farms. This determines that the deployment of large-scale solar farms can have a negative impact on the rural environment, particularly in undulating landscapes. However, the visual impact of a well-planned and well-screened solar farm can be properly addressed within the landscape if planned sensitively. Considerations to be taken into account by local planning authorities are;

- *“the proposal’s visual impact, the effect on landscape of glint and glare and on neighbouring uses and aircraft safety;*
- *the extent to which there may be additional impacts if solar arrays follow the daily movement of the sun.”*

PLANNING GUIDANCE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF LARGE-SCALE GROUND MOUNTED SOLAR PV SYSTEMS

3.2. As outlined within the BRE document ‘Planning Guidance for the Development of Large-Scale Ground Mounted Solar PV Systems’⁴

“Glint may be produced as a direct reflection of the sun in the surface of the solar PV panel. It may be the source of the visual issues regarding viewer distraction. Glare is a continuous source of brightness, relative to diffused lighting. This is not a direct reflection of the sun, but rather a reflection of the bright sky around the sun. Glare is significantly less intense than glint.

Solar PV panels are designed to absorb, not reflect, irradiation. However, the sensitivities associated with glint and glare, and the landscape/ visual impact and the potential impact on aircraft safety, should be a consideration. In some instances, it may be necessary to seek a glint and glare assessment as part of a planning application. This may be particularly

³ NPPG Renewable and Low Carbon Energy. Available at:
http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/renewable-and-low-carbon-energy/particular-planning-considerations-for-hydropower-active-solar-technology-solar-farms-and-wind-turbines/#paragraph_012

⁴ BRE (2013) *Planning Guidance for the Development of Large Scale Ground Mounted Solar PV Systems*. Available at:
https://www.bre.co.uk/filelibrary/pdf/other_pdfs/KN5524_Planning_Guidance_reduced.pdf

important if 'tracking' panels are proposed as these may cause differential diurnal and/or seasonal impacts.

The potential for solar PV panels, frames and supports to have a combined reflective quality should be assessed. This assessment needs to consider the likely reflective capacity of all of the materials used in the construction of the solar PV farm."

INTERIM CAA GUIDANCE – SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEMS (2010)

- 3.3. There is little guidance on the assessment of glint and glare from solar farms with regards to aviation safety. The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) has published interim guidance on 'Solar Photovoltaic Systems'⁵, they also intend to undertake a review of the potential impacts of solar PV developments upon aviation, however this is yet to be published.
- 3.4. The interim guidance identifies the key safety issues with regards to aviation, including *"glare, dazzling pilots leading them to confuse reflections with aeronautical lights."* It is outlined that solar farm developers should be aware of the requirements to comply with the Air Navigation Order (ANO), published in 2016 and amended in 2022. In particular, developers should be cognisant of the following articles of the ANO⁶, including:
- **Article 240** – *Endangering safety of an aircraft* – "A person must not recklessly or negligently act in a manner likely to endanger an aircraft, or any person in an aircraft."
 - **Article 224** - *Lights liable to endanger* – "A person must not exhibit in the United Kingdom any light which:
 - a) by reason of its glare is liable to endanger aircraft taking off or from landing at an aerodrome; or
 - b) by reason of its liability to be mistaken for an aeronautical ground light liable to endanger aircraft"
 - **Article 225** – *Lights which dazzle or distract* – "A person must not in the United Kingdom direct or shine any light at any aircraft in flight so as to dazzle or distract the pilot of the aircraft."
- 3.5. Relevant studies generally agree that there is potential for glint and glare from photovoltaic panels to cause a hazard or nuisance for surrounding receptors, but that the intensity of such

⁵ CAA (2010) Interim CAA Guidance – Solar Photovoltaic Systems. Available at: <https://publicapps.caa.co.uk/modalapplication.aspx?catid=1&appid=11&mode=detail&id=4370>

⁶ CAA (2016) Air Navigation: The Order and Regulations. Available at: <https://www.caa.co.uk/media/1a2cigrq/air-navigation-order-2016-amended-april-2022-version.pdf>

reflections is similar to that emanating from still water. This is considerably lower than for other manmade materials such as glass, steel or white concrete (SunPower – 2009).

- 3.6. These Articles are considered within the assessment of glint and glare of the Proposed Development.

CAA – CAP738: SAFEGUARDING OF AERODROMES 3RD EDITION⁷

- 3.7. In 2003 the CAA first introduced the CAP738 document to help provide advice and guidance to ensure aerodrome safeguarding. Subsequently, there have been two updates to this document in 2006 and 2020.

- 3.8. Within the latest edition of CAP738, it outlines that the purpose of the document is to protect an aerodrome and to ensure safe operation. Specifically stating:

“Its purpose is to protect:

Aircraft from the risk of glint and glare e.g. solar panels.”

- 3.9. Within the section named as “Appendix C – Solar Photovoltaic Cells”, the following is stated:

“Policy

1. In 2010 the CAA published interim guidance on Solar Photovoltaic Cells (SPCs). At that time, it was agreed that we would review our policy based on research carried out by the Federal Aviation Authorities (FAA) in the United States, in addition to reviewing guidance issued by other National Aviation Authorities. New information and field experience, particularly with respect to compatibility and glare, has resulted in the FAA reviewing its original document ‘Technical Guidance for Evaluating Selected Solar Technologies on Airports’, which is likely to be subject to change, see link; <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2013/10/23/2013-24729/interimpolicy-faa-review-of-solar-energy-system-projects-on-federally-obligated-airports>

2. In the United Kingdom there has been a further increase in SPV cells, including some located close to aerodrome boundaries; to date the CAA has not received any detrimental comments or issues of glare at these established sites. Whilst this early indication is encouraging, those responsible for safeguarding should remain vigilant to the possibility.”

- 3.10. The above is stating that to date, there has not been any complications on airfields due to glare originating from solar farms across the UK.

⁷ Civil Aviation Authority (2020). CAP738 – Safeguarding of Aerodromes 3rd Edition. Available at: <https://publicapps.caa.co.uk/docs/33/CAP738%20Issue%203.pdf>

US FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION POLICY

- 3.11. The US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) in their Solar Guide (Federal Aviation Authority, 2018)⁸ incorporates a chapter on the impact and assessment of glint from solar panels. It concludes that (although subject to revision):

“Depending on site specifics (e.g., existing land uses, location and size of the project) an acceptable evaluation could involve one or more of the following levels of assessment:

(1) A qualitative analysis of potential impact in consultation with the Air Traffic Control Tower, pilots, and airport officials

(2) A demonstration field test with solar panels at the proposed site in coordination with Air Traffic Control Tower personnel

(3) A geometric analysis to determine days and times when there may be an ocular impact.”

- 3.12. The interim policy (Federal Register, 2013)⁹ demands that an ocular impact assessment must be assessed at 1-minute intervals from when the sun rises above the horizon until the sun sets below the horizon. Specifically, the developer must use the ‘Solar Glare Hazard Analysis Tool’ (SGHAT) tool specifically and reference its results as this was developed by the FAA and Sandia National Laboratories as a standard and approved methodology for assessing potential impacts on aviation interests, although it notes other assessment methods may be considered. The SGHAT tool has since been licensed to a private organisation who were also involved in its development and it is the software model used in this assessment.

- 3.13. Crucially, the policy provides a quantitative threshold which is lacking in the English guidance. This outlines that a solar development will not automatically receive an objection on glint grounds if low intensity glint is visible to pilots on final approach. In other words, low intensity glint with a low potential to form a temporary after-image (Green Glare) would be considered acceptable under US guidance. Due to the lack of legislation and guidance within England, this US document has been utilised as guidance for this report.

- 3.14. The FAA guidance states that for a solar PV development to obtain FAA approval or to receive no objection, the following two criteria must be met:

- No potential for glint or glare in the existing or planned Air Traffic Control Tower (ATCT); and

⁸ FAA (2018), Technical Guidance for Evaluating Selected Solar Technologies on Airports. Available at <https://www.faa.gov/sites/faa.gov/files/airports/environmental/FAA-Airport-Solar-Guide-2018.pdf>

⁹ FAA (2013), Interim Policy, *FAA Review of Solar Energy System Projects on Federally Obligated Airports*. Available at <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2013/10/23/2013-24729/interim-policy-faa-review-of-solar-energy-system-projects-on-federally-obligated-airports>

- No potential for glare or “low potential for after-image” (Green Glare) along the final approach path for any existing or future runway landing thresholds (including planned or interim phases), as shown by the approved layout plan (ALP). The final approach path is defined as 2 miles from 50 feet above the landing threshold using a standard 3-degree glide path.
- 3.15. The geometric analysis included later in this report, which defines the extent and time at which glint may occur, is required by the FAA as the methodology to be used when assessing glint and glare impacts on aviation receptors. This report follows the methodology required by the FAA as it offers the most robust assessment method currently available.

FAA POLICY: REVIEW OF SOLAR ENERGY SYSTEMS PROJECTS ON FEDERALLY - OBLIGATED AIRPORTS¹⁰

- 3.16. The FAA updated their Interim Policy from 2013 as part of their commitment to “*update policies and procedures as part of an iterative process as new information and technologies become available.*” The main development regarding Glint and Glare since the Interim Policy is the following:
- “Initially, FAA believed that solar energy systems could introduce a novel glint and glare effect to pilots on final approach. FAA has subsequently concluded that in most cases, the glint and glare from solar energy systems to pilots on final approach is similar to glint and glare pilots routinely experience from water bodies, glass-façade buildings, parking lots, and similar features. However, FAA has continued to receive reports of potential glint and glare from on-airport solar energy systems on personnel working in ATCT cabs.”*
- 3.17. This is outlining that solar panels are similar to nuisances that are already caused by other existing infrastructure, such as; car parks, glass buildings and water bodies. Furthermore, the ATCT has been outlined as the key receptor to be assessed when determining Glint and Glare impacts from a solar farm.

¹⁰ FAA (2021). FAA Policy: Review of Solar Energy Systems Projects on Federally – Obligated Airports. Available at: <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/05/11/2021-09862/federal-aviation-administration-policy-review-of-solar-energy-system-projects-on-federally-obligated>

REVIEW OF LOCAL PLAN

Eastleigh Borough Local Plan 2016- 2036

- 3.18. The Eastleigh Borough Local Plan¹¹ was adopted on 25 April 2022.
- 3.19. There are no policies contained within the Plan which are of relevance to this glint and glare report.

¹¹ Eastleigh Borough Local Plan 2016 – 2036, available at: <https://www.eastleigh.gov.uk/planning-and-building/planning-policy-and-implementation/local-plan/local-plan-adoption>

4. METHODOLOGY

- 4.1. A desk-based assessment was undertaken to identify when and where glint and glare may be visible at aviation receptors within the vicinity of the Proposed Development, throughout the day and the year.

SUN POSITION AND REFLECTION MODEL

Sun Data Model

- 4.2. The calculations in the solar position calculator are based on equations from Astronomical Algorithms¹². The sunrise and sunset results are theoretically accurate to within a minute for locations between +/- 72° latitude, and within 10 minutes outside of those latitudes. However, due to variations in atmospheric composition, temperature, pressure, and conditions, observed values may vary from calculations.

Solar Reflection Model

- 4.3. The position of the sun is calculated at one-minute intervals of a typical year, in this instance the year assessed is 2023.
- 4.4. To determine if a reflection will reach a receptor, the following variables are required:
- Sun position;
 - Observer location; and
 - Tilt, orientation, and extent of the modules in the solar array.
- 4.5. The model assumes that the azimuth and horizontal angle of the sun is the same across the whole solar farm. This is considered acceptable due to the distance of the sun from the Proposed Development and the miniscule differences in location of the sun over the Proposed Development.
- 4.6. Once the position of the sun is known for each time interval, a vector reflection equation determines the reflected sun vector, based on the normal vector of the solar array panels. This assumes that the angle of reflection is equal to the angle of incidence reflected across a normal plane. In this instance, the plane being the vector which the solar panels are facing.
- 4.7. On knowing the vector of the solar reflection, the azimuth is calculated and the horizontal reflection from multiple points within the solar farm. These are then compared with the

¹² Jean Meeus, *Astronomical Algorithms* (Second Edition), 1999

azimuth and horizontal angle of the receptor from the solar farm to determine if it is within range to receive solar reflections.

- 4.8. The solar reflection in the model is considered to be specular as a worst-case scenario. In practice the light from the sun will not be fully reflected as solar panels are designed to absorb light rather than reflect it. The text above and **Appendix C** outlines the reflective properties of solar glass and compares it to other reflective surfaces. Although the exact figures in this report could be argued, it is included as a visual guide and it agrees with most other reports, in that solar glass has less reflective properties than other types of glass, bodies of water and snow, and that the amount of reflective energy drops as the angle of incidence decreases.
- 4.9. The panel reflectivity has been modelled to assume an anti-reflective coating (ARC) which is the industry standard for photo-voltaic panels and further reduces the reflective properties of the PV panels.

Determination of Ocular Impact

- 4.10. The software used for this assessment is based on the Sandia Laboratories Solar Glare Hazard Analysis Tool (SGHAT). This tool is specifically mentioned in the FAA guidance as the software which should be used in this type of assessment.
- 4.11. Determination of the ocular impact requires knowledge of the direct normal irradiance, PV module reflectance, size and orientation of the array, optical properties of the PV module, and ocular parameters. These values are used to determine the retinal irradiance and subtended source angle used in the ocular hazard plot.
- 4.12. The ocular impact¹³ of viewed glare can be classified into three levels based on the retinal irradiance and subtended source angle: low potential for after-image (green), potential for after-image (yellow), and potential for permanent eye damage (red).
- 4.13. Green glare can be ignored when looking at residential and some aviation receptors. Green glare does not cause temporary flash blindness and happens at an instant with very slight disturbance. As per FAA guidelines mitigation is only required for green glare when affecting an Air Traffic Control Tower, but not for when affecting pilots. Therefore, it can be assumed that green glare is acceptable for residential receptors.
- 4.14. The subtended source angle represents the size of the glare viewed by an observer, while the retinal irradiance determines the amount of energy impacting the retina of the observer. Larger source angles can result in glare of high intensity, even if the retinal irradiance is low.
- 4.15. The modelling software outputs a hazard plot for each receptor predicted to be impacted by glare from the photovoltaic (PV) array. An orange dot is plotted for each minute of glare

¹³ Ho, C.K., C.M. Ghanbari, and R.B. Diver, 2011, Methodology to Assess Potential Glint and Glare Hazards From Concentrating Solar Power Plants: Analytical Models and Experimental Validation, Journal of Solar Energy Engineering-Transactions of the Asme, 133(3).

indicating the irradiance (power density) of the reflected solar light. A yellow dot is plotted to show the irradiance of the Sun when it is viewed directly. The hazard plot shows that the irradiance of the Sun is approximately three orders of magnitude greater than the reflected irradiance, i.e., the power density of solar reflections from photovoltaic panels are approximately 0.1% that of viewing the Sun. Due to the disparity in irradiance, whenever the Sun is observed in the same frame as solar reflections from a PV array, the Sun will be main source of glare impacts upon the observer. In such a case, the impact is deemed to be **Low** as a worst-case scenario.

Relevant Parameters of the Proposed Development

- 4.16. The photovoltaic (PV) panels are oriented in the roof directions (142 degrees) and will remain in a fixed position throughout the day and during the year (i.e. they will not rotate to track the movement of the sun). The panels will be installed on the roof and inclined at an angle of 6 degrees on the large roof and 10 degrees on the small roof.
- 4.17. The height of the building has been used as the maximum height of the solar panels for the assessment.

IDENTIFICATION OF RECEPTORS

Aviation

- 4.18. Glint is only considered to be an issue with regards to aviation safety when the solar development lies within proximity to a runway, particularly when the aircraft is descending to land. En-route activities are not considered an issue as the flight will most likely be at a higher altitude than the solar reflection.
- 4.19. Should a solar development be proposed within the safeguarded zone of an aerodrome, a full geometric study may be required (depending on the orientation from the Proposed Development) which would determine if there is potential for glint and glare at key locations, most likely on the descent to land.
- 4.20. Buffer zones to identify aviation assets vary depending on the safeguarding criteria of that asset. All aerodromes within 30km will be identified, however generally the detailed assessments are only required within: 20km for large international aerodromes, 10km for military aerodromes and 5km for small aerodromes.

MAGNITUDE OF IMPACT

Moving Receptors (Aviation)

Approach Paths

- 4.21. Each final approach path which has the potential to receive glint is assessed using the SGHAT model. The model assumes an approach bearing on the runway centreline, a 3-degree glide path with the origin 50ft (15.24m) above the runway threshold.
- 4.22. The computer model considers the pilots field of view. The azimuthal field of view (AFOV) or horizontal field of view (HFOV) as it is sometimes referred, refers to the extents of the pilot's horizontal field of view measured in degrees left and right from directly in front of the cockpit. The vertical field of view (VFOV) refers to the extents of the pilot's vertical field of view measured in degrees from directly in front of the cockpit. The HFOV is modelled at 50 degrees left and right from the front of the cockpit whilst the VFOV is modelled at 30 degrees.
- 4.23. The FAA guidance states that there should be no potential for glare or '*low potential for after-image*' at any existing or future planned runway landing thresholds for the Proposed Development to be acceptable.

Air Traffic Control Tower (ATCT)

- 4.24. An air traffic controller uses the visual control room to monitor and direct aircraft on the ground, approaching and departing the aerodrome. It is essential that air traffic controllers have a clear unobstructed view of the aviation activity. The key areas on an aerodrome are the views towards the runway thresholds, taxiways, and aircraft bays.
- 4.25. The FAA guidance states that no solar reflection towards the ATCT should be produced by a proposed solar development, however this should be assessed on a site by site case and will depend on the operations at a particular aerodrome.
- 4.26. In order to determine the impact on the ATCT, the location and height of the tower will need to be fed into the SGHAT model and where there is a potential for '*low potential for After-Image*' or more, then mitigation measures will be required.

Assessment Limitations

- 4.27. Below is a list of assumptions and limitations of the model and methods used within this report:
- The model does not consider obstacles (either man-made or natural) between the observation points and the prescribed solar installation that may obstruct observed glare, such as trees, hills, buildings, etc;

- The model does not rigorously represent the detailed geometry of a system; detailed features such as gaps between modules, variable height of the PV array, and support structures may impact actual glare results;
 - Due to variations in atmospheric composition, temperature, pressure and conditions, observed values may vary slightly from calculated positions;
 - The model does not account for the effects of diffraction; however, buffers are applied as a factor of safety; and
 - The model assumes clear skies at all times and does not account for meteorological effects such as cloud cover, fog, or any other weather event which may screen the sun.
- 4.28. Due to these assumptions and limitations the model overestimates the number of minutes of glint and glare which are possible at each receptor and presents the worst-case scenario. Where glint and glare are predicted a visibility assessment is carried out to determine a more accurate, real-world prediction of the impacts.

5. BASELINE CONDITIONS

Aviation Receptors

5.1. Aerodromes within 30km of the proposed solar development can be found in **Table 5 - 1**.

Table 5 - 1: Airfields within 30km of the Proposed Development

Airfield	Distance (km)	Use
Southampton Airport	0.42	Licensed Airport
Hill Farm	6.81	Small grass strip
Roughay Farm	8.25	Small grass strip
South Longwood airfield	10.26	Small grass strip
Pauncefoot Airfield	11.26	Small grass strip
Farley Farm	12.55	Small grass strip
Bishops Waltham Airfield	15.21	Small grass strip
Bere Farm (South)	15.69	Small grass strip
Lee-on-Solent Airport	18.19	Licensed Airport
Bossington Farm	18.69	Small grass strip
Bere Farm (North)	19.16	Small grass strip
Barton Ashes Airfield	19.88	Small grass strip
Stonefield Park	21.28	Small grass strip
Lymington Airfield	22.41	Small grass strip
Redwood Cottage Airfield	23.21	Small grass strip
West Tilsted Airfield	23.63	Small grass strip
RAF Middle Wallop	25.47	Military
Batchley Farm	26.63	Small grass strip
Binstead Airfield	27.21	Small grass strip
Colemore Common Airfield	27.61	Small grass strip
Popham Airfield	28.46	Small grass strip
Weir House Airfield	28.51	Small grass strip

- 5.2. The Proposed Development is located within the safeguarding buffer zones of two aviation assets. Only Southampton Airport and Lee-on-Solent Airport will require detailed assessments.
- 5.3. As the Proposed Development does not fall within the safeguarding buffer zones of the other 20 aviation receptors, there is no need for a detailed assessment. This is in accordance with what was outlined in the methodology chapter above.

Southampton Airport

- 5.4. Southampton Airport (ICAO code EGH) an Instrument Flight Rules (IFR)/Visual Flight Rules (VFR) aerodrome. It is located approximately 3.5NM (6.48km) north-northeast of Southampton and approximately 1NM (1.85km) southeast of Eastleigh.
- 5.5. The elevation of the aerodrome is 44ft (13.4m). It has one grooved asphalt runway, details of which are given in **Table 5 - 2**.

Table 5 - 2: Runways at Southampton Airport

Runway Designation	True Bearing (°)	Length (m)	Width (m)
02	019.38	1723	37
20	199.39	1723	37

- 5.6. The threshold location and height of the runway at Southampton Airport are given in **Table 5 - 3**.

Table 5 - 3: Runway Threshold Locations and Heights

Runway Designation	Threshold Latitude	Threshold Longitude	Height AOD (m)
02	50° 56' 36.90" N	001° 21' 37.89" W	9.45
20	50° 57' 25.89" N	001° 21' 10.56" W	13.4

- 5.7. The airport reference point (ARP) is located at the midpoint of Runway 02/20. The actual location of the ARP and the air traffic control tower (ATCT) is given in **Table 5 - 4**. The height of the ATCT is estimated to be 10m.

Table 5 - 4: Southampton Airport Reference Point

	Latitude	Longitude	Eastings	Northings
ARP	50° 57' 01.04" N	001° 21' 24.37" W	445280	116959
ATCT	50° 57' 08.22" N	001° 21' 34.11" W	445090	117180

Lee-on-Solent Airport

- 5.8. Lee-on-Solent Airport (ICAO code EGHF) is an VFR only aerodrome. It is located approximately 5.2NM (9.63km) west of Portsmouth.
- 5.9. The elevation of the aerodrome is 33ft (10.06m). It has one asphalt, details of which are given in **Table 5 - 5**.

Table 5 - 5: Runways at Lee-on-Solent Airport

Runway Designation	True Bearing (°)	Length (m)	Width (m)
05	045.22	1029	30
23	225.2	1029	30

- 5.10. The threshold location and height of the runway at Lee-on-Solent Airport are given in **Table 5 - 6**.

Table 5 - 6: Runway Threshold Locations and Heights

Runway Designation	Threshold Latitude	Threshold Longitude	Height AOD (m)
05	50° 48' 46.41" N	001° 12' 39.90" W	8
23	50° 49' 09.76" N	001° 12' 02.64" W	8

- 5.11. The ARP is located south of the midpoint of Runway 05/23. The actual location of the ARP and ATCT is given in **Table 5 - 7**. The height of the ATCT is estimated to be 10m based off Google Earth street view images.

Table 5 - 7: Lee-on-Solent Airport Reference Point

	Latitude	Longitude	Eastings	Northings
ARP	50° 48' 56.27'' N	001° 12' 24.03'' W	455985	102088
ATCT	50° 48' 38.40'' N	001° 12' 36.05'' W	455755	101531

6. IMPACT ASSESSMENT

- 6.1. Following the methodology outlined earlier in this report, geometrical analysis comparing the azimuth and horizontal angle of the receptors from the Proposed Development and the solar reflection was conducted. Although this assessment did not consider obstructions such as intervening vegetation and buildings, discussion on the potentially impacted receptors is provided where necessary.

AVIATION RECEPTORS

- 6.2. **Table 6 - 1** shows a summary of the modelling results for each of the runway approach paths as well as the ATCT whilst the detailed results and ocular impact charts can be viewed in **Appendix B**.

Table 6 - 1: Summary of Component Glare Results

Component	Green Glare (mins)	Yellow Glare (mins)	Red Glare (mins)
Southampton Airport			
Runway 02	0	0	0
Runway 20	3488	0	0
ATCT	325	0	0
Lee-on-Solent Airport			
Runway 05	0	0	0
Runway 23	0	0	0
ATCT	0	0	0

- 6.3. As can be seen in **Table 6 - 1**, no glare is predicted to impact upon Lee-on-Solent Airport or the Runway 02 approach path at Southampton Airport. Only green glare is predicted to impact the Runway 20 approach path and ATCT at Southampton Airport. Green glare is described as 'Low Potential for After Image' which is an **acceptable impact** when pilots are approaching runways/helipads and a **not acceptable impact** upon an ATCT, according to the FAA guidance. Therefore, the impact upon the Runway 20 approach path is **Not Significant** and the impact upon the ATCT is **Significant**. Therefore, the impact upon these aviation assets is **Significant**.

7. MITIGATION

- 7.1. Mitigation is required due to the **Significant** impact views from the ATCT at Southampton Airport. The recommended mitigation is either:
- Removal of the northern-most panel area, or;
 - Install a parapet/wall along the northern roofline of the building to a height of at least 1m.
- 7.2. Both of these options will reduce the glint and glare impacts upon the ATCT at Southampton Airport to **None**.

8. SUMMARY

- 8.1. A 30km study area is chosen for receptors. 22 aviation assets are located within 30km of the Proposed Development. Only Southampton Airport and Lee-on-Solent Airport required detailed assessments due to the Proposed Development falling within their respective safeguarding buffer zones outlined in **paragraph 4.20**.
- 8.2. Geometric analysis was conducted for two runway approach paths and one Air Traffic Control Tower (ATCT) at Southampton Airport and two runway approach paths and one ATCT at Lee-on-Solent Airport.
- 8.3. The assessment concludes that:
- Southampton: No glare is predicted to impact the Runway 02 approach path. Only green glare is predicted to impact upon the Runway 20 approach path, which is an **acceptable impact**, and the ATCT, which is a **not acceptable impact** according to FAA guidance. Therefore, the impact upon Southampton Airport is **Significant**.
 - Lee-on-Solent: No was predicted to impact upon the runway approach paths or ATCT at Lee-on-Solent Airport. Therefore, the impact upon Lee-on-Solent Airport is **None**.
 - Overall impacts on aviation receptors are **not acceptable and Significant**.
- 8.4. Mitigation measures are required due to the **Significant** impacts upon the ATCT at Southampton Airport. This includes omission of the northern-most panel area. With these mitigation measures in place the impacts upon the ATCT are **None**, and therefore the overall impacts are **Low** and **Not Significant**.

9. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: FIGURES

- Figure 1: Site Layout
- Figure 2: Southampton Airport Aerodrome Chart
- Figure 3: Lee-on-Solent Airport Aerodrome Chart

APPENDIX B: AVIATION RECEPTOR GLARE RESULTS

APPENDIX C: SOLAR MODULE GLARE AND REFLECTANCE TECHNICAL MEMO