

H/24/97187 and L/24/97193 - GREYWELL, HIGH STREET, BURSLEDON

10/06/2024

Hi Claire,

Thank you for the update. The removal of the patio from the scheme is welcomed from an arboricultural perspective.

We raise no arboricultural objection, subject to the following condition:

The development must accord with the arboricultural report reference CBA11800v1. No excavation, demolition or development related works shall commence until the tree protection measures have been installed as per the tree protection plan contained within the report. Once installed, no access by vehicles or placement of goods, chemicals, fuels, soil or other materials shall take place within the protected area. Tree protection measures shall be retained in their approved form for the duration of the work and may only be modified subject to written agreement from the LPA. Reason - To retain and protect the existing trees which form an important part of the amenity of the locality.

08/05/2024

Dear Claire,

With respect to the boundary wall we have no arboricultural objection to the proposal, subject to compliance with the arboricultural report.

However, we have a concern regarding the apparent proposal for a patio to be laid within the Root Protection Area (RPA) of T3, which is categorised as a veteran tree. The arboricultural report does not mention the patio, but it is indicated on the site plan.

Firstly, we will require some consistency between the application documents. Secondly, if the patio is to be constructed, we would raise an objection as it stands – the area isn't currently level and it would, therefore, require a certain degree of grading within the RPA. Further, a patio, while relatively minor in term of development, still does count as development, which is not advised within the RPA of a veteran tree.

Kind regards,

Chris.