

MEMORANDUM

From: Housing Enabling Specialist	To: Liz Harrison
Author: Mary Stribling	Email: liz.harrison@eastleigh.gov.uk
Ext: 8485	
Date: 10 th July 2024	
My Ref: X/24/97426	Yr Ref: X/24/97426

RE: Variation of condition 1 (approved drawings) of reserved matters application RM/19/86658 (as amended by X/23/94736) (pursuant to outline planning permission O/15/75953, as amended by X/19/84943) for Boorley Gardens Phase 3 to allow alterations to the house types on plots 445-462 and 668 and the layout of Phase 3D.

Land North West of Winchester Road, Boorley Green, Hampshire, Boorley Green

Thank you for your recent consultation request regarding the variation of condition for the above planning application.

The approved application for the whole phase was providing the following mix and tenures:

Rent: 8No 1BF (1No WC) 4No 2BF (2No WC) 18No 2BH / 1No 3BH (5p) / 10No 3BH (6p) / 5No 4BH (6p) Total 46 homes

Shared Ownership: 22No 2BH / 15No 3BH (5p) / 1No 3BH (6P) Total 38 homes

There are 6No affordable homes shown on the plans as being in the scope of this variation (plot numbers 455 – 460 inclusive). These homes are showing as delivering 4No 2BH (4P) and 2No 3BH (6P) and there are new house types Baymont & Lockton-Special respectively.

The tenure plan shows they are split into 3No 2BH and 1No 3BH (6p) for rent and 1No 2BH & 1No 3BH (6p) for Shared Ownership.

The plot numbers for those in scope does not match the original schedule of affordable plot numbers. Which detailed them as 453 – 458 (453/454/456/457 as 2 bed houses and plot numbers 455 & 458 are 3 bed 6 persons (House type 3S). However, the tenure mix and size of homes does match so with the changes that have previously taken place I have assumed plots 455 – 460 to be these earlier detailed plots. Therefore the mix and tenure split does match.

The information submitted suggests that there is no change to the proposed affordable mix but the overall Accommodation Schedule does not appear to list the Baymont house types. Also, the total number of affordable homes on this schedule is also incorrect as it is listed as 110 not 84.

Please let me know should you wish to discuss this further.

Kind Regards

Mary Stribling
Housing Enabling Specialist