

Gary Osmond, Case Officer

Woodbine Cottage

Planning Dept

High Street

Eastleigh Borough Council

Bursledon

Southampton SO31 8DL

Dear Mr Osmond

Ref H/24/97673

19/7/24

I would like to ask if you will consider my objections to this Planning Proposal in the Old Bursledon Conservation Area. This application, with a few changes, follows Application No. H/23/96533 which the Council refused a few months ago.

I see that the applicant has now submitted a 'planning policy statement' prepared by a consultancy and my following comments, supporting my objections, relate to the paragraphs in that statement.

3.8 I believe that the replacement of the pitched roof by a very contemporary additional floor, as described, will still cause harm to the 'street scene'. Can I ask you please to look at the photograph of 'Woodbine Cottage' and 'Hacketts' which I submitted with my objections to the application which was refused - H/23/96533?

3.10 Whilst there are a few other houses with flat roofs, adding another one at the centre of the Conservation Area close to listed properties will obviously affect the street scene in a detrimental way and should not be accepted.

3.11 May I suggest that the 'the exact impact' would be on visitors who come to see 'The Old Bursledon Conservation Area'.

3.15 The photograph of 'Woodbine Cottage', where I live, is highly misleading. Can I ask you please to look again at the photograph of the 'Street Scene', which shows both our houses as a visitor would see them (File H/23/96533)? Also, 'Hacketts' stands on higher ground than our surrounding listed houses. The Statement makes very little mention of the impact on visitors

3.16 The 'impact' on Woodbine Cottage is no different than the impact on Dale Cottage, both properties have south-facing windows and Woodbine Cottage has west-facing windows.

4.3 I do not believe that this change to the appearance of 'Hacketts' will do anything to preserve the setting of the Old Bursledon Conservation Area. On the contrary it will detract from it, as I believe the illustration with paragraph 3.20 clearly shows.

I hope you will seriously consider rejecting this application, primarily in the need to uphold and protect this Conservation Area for the benefit of the public as a whole.

Yours Sincerely



DAVID DUNN