

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/W1715/W/24/3347834

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference APP/W1715/W/24/3347834

Appeal By MRS ANNETTE COOPER

Site Address
Pembers Hill Farm
Mortimers Lane
Fair Oak
SO50 7EA

SENDER DETAILS

Name MISS CHLOE BLATCH

Address
1 Keepers Drive
Fair Oak
Hampshire
SO50 7QR

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Appellant
- ☐ Agent
- ☒ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☒ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

Subject: Objections to Planning Application PN/23/96630

I am writing to express my serious concerns regarding the proposed planning application PN/23/96630 and the potential impact it could have on the local community. There are several key issues that I believe need to be addressed before this application can be considered.

First and foremost, the safety implications of this application are alarming. The introduction of increased farm machinery in close proximity to pedestrian areas raises significant safety concerns for both walkers and cyclists, particularly children and those with animals. This could pose a direct risk to the well-being of local residents and should not be taken lightly. The potential for accidents and injuries due to the presence of large farm machinery is a legitimate cause for worry and should not be disregarded.

Furthermore, the impact on road safety cannot be overlooked. The increased transport volumes and potential need for farm machinery to navigate through the area pose a distinct risk of accidents, especially given the layout of the roads and the existing traffic patterns. Residents on Keepers Drive would face heightened dangers and increased noise pollution due to the influx of traffic, which is unjust and unfair to those living in the area.

Additionally, the introduction of more farm machinery will inevitably lead to an increased presence of mud and dirt on the surrounding roads and pathways. This unnecessary inconvenience and potential hazard to residents should be considered and avoided. The current access to the field does not result in such issues, and there is no justification for introducing them into the community.

The proposed proximity of the new park to the potential gate opening also raises concerns about the safety of children playing in the area. Having farm machinery in close proximity to recreational spaces poses an unnecessary risk to families and contradicts the purpose of creating a safe and enjoyable environment for leisure activities.

The potential for damage to residents' vehicles due to increased farm machinery traffic is a valid concern, as it could lead to additional costs and inconvenience for those living in the area. The impact on the environment should also be carefully considered, as the existing wildlife and natural surroundings could be negatively affected by the implementation of this application. The current access point provides adequate access without disruption to the local ecosystem.

In conclusion, the potential risks, safety concerns, and impact on the local community presented by this planning application are significant and should not be overlooked. I urge the relevant authorities to take these objections seriously and reconsider the approval of this proposal.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.