

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/W1715/W/24/3347834

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference APP/W1715/W/24/3347834

Appeal By MRS ANNETTE COOPER

Site Address
Pembers Hill Farm
Mortimers Lane
Fair Oak
SO50 7EA

SENDER DETAILS

Name MR STUART FULLER

Address
1 Keepers Drive
Fair Oak
EASTLEIGH
SO50 7QR

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Appellant
- ☐ Agent
- ☒ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☒ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

I have formally objected to this application through the Eastleigh Borough Council Planning Department and fully support their decision to reject it. Allowing this application would pose unnecessary risks and dangers to the residents, children, and pets in the development due to the proposed passage of large farm machinery.

The application includes a proposal for a gate that would enable large machinery to enter Pembers Farm Avenue at a T-junction, against the flow of oncoming traffic. This spine road is currently quiet and primarily used by residents, situated close to a children's play area.

Attached images demonstrate that the landowner has successfully farmed the land multiple times this year and has recently installed another access point to adjacent farmland, which has not been mentioned in the current application under review here. There was already a suitable access provided by a gate installed following a prior planning application that was approved, where the landowner claimed they had lost access due to the development. This raises concerns about an attempt to circumvent the planning process.

Furthermore, the landowners imply their land has been isolated by the development, neglecting to mention that they sold the land to facilitate it. Any subsequent issues are therefore of their own making. I urge the Planning Inspectorate to consider these points carefully and uphold the initial decision.

COMMENT DOCUMENTS

The documents listed below were uploaded with this form:

Relates to Section: REPRESENTATION
Document Description: Your comments on the appeal.
File name: IMG_0746.jpeg
File name: IMG_3576.jpeg
File name: IMG_8138.jpeg
File name: IMG_0745.jpeg

PLEASE ENSURE THAT A COPY OF THIS SHEET IS ENCLOSED WHEN POSTING THE ABOVE DOCUMENTS TO US