

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/W1715/W/24/3353355

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference APP/W1715/W/24/3353355

Appeal By MR PATRICK REILLY

Site Address
Cherry Court Salterns Lane
Bursledon
SOUTHAMPTON
SO31 8DH

SENDER DETAILS

Name MR PETER FALCONER

Address
Westward, Salterns Lane
Bursledon
Southampton
Hampshire
SO31 8DH

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Appellant
- ☐ Agent
- ☒ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☒ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

As a resident I strongly object to this application. The Adopted Eastleigh Local Plan – 2016 to 2036 Policy BU7 states :-

1. Residential extensions and replacement dwellings in the Old Bursledon Special Policy Area as defined on the policies map will be permitted provided they:

a. Do not increase the volume of the original dwelling (as existing when the conservation area was first designated in 1982) by more than 25%. Further extension beyond this limit will not be permitted; b. have regard to the impact of the development on the space between buildings and the openness of the area, ensuring that the character of the undeveloped landscape between buildings is conserved and enhanced; c. do not create a dwelling disproportionately larger than the one that is being extended or replaced; d. are subservient to and in proportion to the existing building in form, scale and design; e. do not contribute to the cumulative urbanisation of the area; and f. are not otherwise detrimental to the character of the area as viewed from the River Hamble.

Clearly, this application would not be in keeping with our Conservation Area, particularly overlooking a Ramsar Site and SSSI. The "seven-bedroom detached dwelling over three levels" is vastly too high, especially as it would be extremely visible from the River Hamble and would be likely to bring more vehicles into an already busy narrow lane. Allowing the erosion of the objectives of the Local Plan opens up more opportunities for "development for profit" and Airbnb businesses. Most residents living in this area for many years do so because of its existing character and have limited any development with that in mind.