

Harpendene
111 Satchell Lane
Hamble
S031 4HL

20th March 2025

**Objection to planning application for 109 Satchell Lane H/25/98931 and
H/25/98934**

Dear Sir/Madam

I have reviewed the planning applications for the above and would like to lodge my objection to both. Please note that i have only received direct communication on 98931 and the automated planning email that is sent weekly from 'MyEastleigh' only lists this one despite the submission dates being the same.

This is the 3rd and 4th application by Mr Saward and the proposals have not changed significantly from previous applications in terms of the height of the proposed works. All my previous comments remain valid; this property is already over-bearing and to create a 3rd level on this property would completely swamp the neighbouring properties at 107 and 111.

The property sits very close to my boundary wall and already blocks light to the ground floor rooms in my property that sit adjacent. The property is larger than the neighbouring houses which were all bungalows initially. Many of these, including my own, have been developed however they have all been done so in a considered way and do not look out of place. 109 Satchell Lane is already much larger than its neighbours and as it sits on an elevation any further extensions would create an eyesore. The new third level would significantly overlook the rear of my property which it currently does not.

I would also enquire how if the works are approved Mr Saward intends undertaking this work without accessing my property as there is only 25cm between the side of the house at 109 and my boundary.

Further to this the existing floor plan submitted has changed the function of the rooms with previous plans showing more bedrooms. Whilst I understand the owner is at liberty to use his rooms for whatever purpose he wishes, I do wonder if this is an attempt to disguise the number of bedrooms this property has.

I apologise for my lack of technical knowledge however even as a novice I am able to clearly see that this development would result in detriment to my property and subsequently my family. Whilst it is stated on both applications that this is a loft

conversion this is the creation of a third level. The height and shape of the roof would not allow for standing height without a significant increase in the roof height.

Yours Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized 'L' followed by a series of loops and a horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Louise McMillan