

Altman, Rebecca

From: Pires, Andre
Sent: 06 May 2025 11:42
To: Altman, Rebecca
Cc: Panza, Yacklin
Subject: FW: [DC/25/99302] GROUND FLOOR, 103 BOURNEMOUTH ROAD, CHANDLER'S FORD, EASTLEIGH, SO53 3AE -
Attachments: GROUND FLOOR, 103 BOURNEMOUTH ROAD - DC/23/95930
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged
Categories: Consultation responses

Good morning Rebecca,

Thank you for consulting the Pollution Team on the planning application DC/25/99302 for the discharge of Conditions 4 (contaminated land) and 24 (noise management) of planning permission F/22/94159 at GROUND FLOOR, 103 BOURNEMOUTH ROAD, CHANDLER'S FORD, EASTLEIGH, SO53 3AE. E have reviewed the following documents:

- CPC Ltd. (11/04/2025). 103 Bournemouth Road, Chandler's Ford, Eastleigh, SO53 3AE. Covering Letter for Application to Discharge and Vary Conditions Pursuant to Planning Permission F/22/94159.
- Geo-logic (August 2023). 103 Bournemouth Road, Southampton. Phase 1 and 2 Land Contamination Assessment. Report reference p48.0.0.
- KR Associates Technical Note 24th April 2025 version 1.3 reference KR07367

Please see our comments below:

Condition 4 - Contaminated land

Our previous comments sent on 14/09/2023 (see attached) indicated that although we agreed with the findings of the contaminated land report produced by Geo-logic (August 2023), confirmation of watching brief being implemented during construction works was required to support the discharging of condition 4. The Covering Letter produced by CPC Ltd. did not indicate that such a watching brief was followed. Could the applicant please confirm whether a watching brief was in place during construction works and whether any unexpected contamination was encountered?

Condition 24 - Noise Management Plan

A Technical Note was submitted and review reference KR07367 dated April 2025 from KR Associates together with a covering letter from CPC Ltd dated 11th April 2025 to discharge condition 24 from Application reference F/22/94159 that requests a noise management plan.

Although the information in the Technical Note is valid and correct the document requires to be a Noise Management Plan Document as explain in the planning conditions 24. I would suggest renaming the document and add the missing information regarding the proposed deliveries hours as per the information in the covering letter and as per Condition 19.

A Noise Management Plan is a live document that can change in the future if required and the same does not apply for a Technical Note.

At this point in time, with the information provided we are not able to discharge the conditions.

Thank you

Pollution team