

MLA/2025/00389

Project summary

Application type

Please select the type(s) of application you are applying for.

If you wish to apply for a section 36 or 36A consent or a safety zone in addition to your marine licence application please tick the relevant box.

If you wish to also apply for consent under a local Act or Order please tick the Local Act consent box. Please explain which local Act or Order consent you are applying when giving details of the project background below. You should also upload a copy of the local Act or Order there too.

Application type

Marine licence

Please tick all additional application types that are relevant.

Additional application types

- Section 36 and Section 36A: Electricity Act 1989.
- Local Act Consent: Consent under a local Act or harbour order.

- ☐ Section 36
- ☐ Section 36A
- ☐ Local Act consent
- ☐ Safety zone

Project details

Project title

Enter the title of your project (max. 250 characters)

Hamble jetty painting

Project background

You should explain the background to the project. This should include the aims of the project, the need for the project, whether it forms part of a larger project and any other relevant information. (max. 2000 characters)

BP intends to repaint the jetty at Hamble Oil Terminal. The existing paint has deteriorated on the steel piles, structural steel bracing elements and steel beams. Existing paint will be removed only where deterioration has occurred and the new paint will be used for corrosion management of the existing structures. This project will be completed in various phases, each phase covering a different section of the jetty.

Programme of works

You should detail the proposed programme of works for the project. This should include proposed start and end dates for the overall project and individual elements of the project. It should also include details of any elements that need to be completed by a certain date and details of any time periods during which activities could not be carried out and the reasons for this. It should also include proposed working hours. (max. 2000 characters)

BP plans to repaint the jetty at the Hamble terminal for corrosion protection. The jetty will be accessed using scaffolding only. Access to the jetty will all be managed through Hamble Oil Terminal, with no need for vehicular access through an intertidal zone or other heritage designated asset. The primary proposed works will take place between 15 March and 15 October. The noisiest work will take place during normal business hours (8am-5pm) and the painting will be adjusted as needed to work with the tide, potentially starting earlier or working later as allowed by the tides. Activities such as building and dismantling the scaffolding and some final painting, will take place outside of 15 March and 15 October since they are not noise disruptive activities. No work will take place on bank holidays. Work will commence spring 2026, and, complete for the year in October. Then subsequent stages of the repainting project will take place each year March through October for an estimated 5 years.

The first step is the surface preparation, and Ultra-high-pressure (UHP) water jetting (hydro blasting) will be used to remove contaminants. Treatment will be in accordance with ISO 8501-4:2006. All surfaces will be subject to testing using parameters and criteria defined by the standards to ensure that the paint will coat the surface in a proper and durable manner. When hydro blasting to remove existing paint, the paint turns into a dust like material. During UHP, the scaffolding where the blasting is taking place will be fully enclosed with 50 micron Terram sheeting to ensure that no dust gets through the enclosure.

The general finished colour is to be grey. The type of paint will be International Interzone 954, in compliance with BS EN ISO 12944:2017 with conformity certificates and performance test results as prescribed by that standard to be provided by the contractor. The methods of application will consider the paint manufacturer's instructions and recommendations.

Related consents and applications

Have any other applications been made to the MMO in relation to this project?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Please give details (including application reference numbers if possible)

(max. 2000 characters)

There was a license granted for similar works in 2020. License number: L/2019/00255/1. Case reference number: MLA/2019/00180. Works in 2019 were never completed due to the COVID-19 pandemic. All information from the original application is still relevant and accurate with the exception of the use of wet abrasive blast cleaning as a method of surface preparation. Only UHP will be used instead of wet abrasive blast cleaning as a method of surface preparation.

Has there been any other contact with the MMO in relation to this project?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Please give details

(max. 2000 characters)

See above. All contact with the MMO was in relation to the previously granted license. This license application is for the completion of stated works.

Have any applications been made to or consents issued by other authorities in relation to this project?

This could include applications for planning permission, environmental permits, development consent orders, transport and works orders, marine licences or any other type of licence, permit or consent. This could also include consents from local authorities, Government regulators, harbour authorities, devolved administrations, other European countries and any other type of authority.

☒ Yes ☐ No

Please give details (including the authority name, dates, application reference numbers and the status of the application or consent where possible)

(max. 2000 characters)

We will be writing to Eastleigh Borough Council to agree details of noise controls and working hours under the Control of Pollution Act 1974.

We will be applying to ABP Southampton for harbour works consent.

Do you have statutory powers to consent or undertake without consent any aspect of this project?

This could include statutory powers of a coast protection authority, harbour authority or lighthouse authority or any other type of statutory powers.

☐ Yes ☒ No

Is the project located within the jurisdiction of a statutory harbour authority?

This includes the jurisdiction of municipal, private and trust ports where they are a statutory harbour authority.

☒ Yes ☐ No

Please give details

(max. 2000 characters)

It is within the jurisdiction of Southampton Harbour authority. A works consent application to ABP will be prepared and submitted shortly before the work is due to commence. This application is a pre-requisite.

Applicant details

This is the person, company or organisation that will hold the licence.

Contact type

Select the Contact type. Individual should only be selected when the contact is not working on behalf of an Organisation.

- ☐ Individual
☒ Organisation

Trading title (if applicable)

Title

Ms

Forename

Kyra

Surname

Pesci

Organisation name

BP OIL UK LIMITED

Reg number

00446915

Position in organisation

Facility Engineer

Contact within company

Postcode

SO31 4NR

Postal address

Hamble Ln, Hamble-le-
 Rice, Southampton
 SO31 4NR

Telephone number

Please enter numbers, brackets and the international symbol (+) if needed.

+12194025860

Fax number

Please enter in format +00(0)0000 000000

Email address

Please enter a valid email
 address formatted as xx@xx.xx

kyra.bednarski@bp.com

Sustainable development

The MMO strongly advise that a strategic appraisal is completed. Issues that should be considered include:

1. Identification of any conflicts between the project and the relevant marine plan.
2. Identification of alignment of the project with the Marine Policy Statement and any relevant National Policy Statement.
3. Identification of the environmental, social and economic drivers for a project that have been identified through existing feasibility studies or discussions with other public bodies (e.g. Local Authorities or Local Economic Partnerships).
4. Identification of any potential issues that may arise due to UK law (e.g. Water Environment (Water Framework Directive) (England and Wales) Regulations 2017, Marine Strategy Regulations 2010, Conservation of Habitats & Species Regulations 2017), and how these can potentially be avoided, or mitigated, at the strategic level.
5. Identification of any priority issues that may need addressing with regard to cumulative effects.
6. Options appraisal undertaken by the applicant, and the social, economic and environmental reasoning behind why the preferred option has been chosen.

Environmental impact assessment

Has an environmental statement been produced to support this project?

Environmental statements are required for projects of a type listed in the Marine Works (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2007. If you are not certain whether your project falls within this category, please contact us before proceeding with your application.

☐ Yes ☒ No

Habitats regulations assessment

Have the effects of the project on European sites been considered?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Please give details

(max. 2000 characters)

The proposed work at the jetty crosses part of the SPA and the Ramsar site. However, there will be no direct habitat loss, as works will be confined to the jetty structure itself. Given that there will be no habitat loss, works are therefore unlikely to give rise to impacts other than the disturbance of birds.

While works will be limited to the jetty, there would – in the absence of mitigation – be potential for significant disturbance to wintering species, firstly in the form of visual disturbance caused by the presence of workers, and secondly in the form of noise disturbance caused principally by the surface preparation methods (high-pressure jetting).

If pollutants were to be released by spillage or arisings from blasting or jetting and allowed to enter the marine environment they would affect water quality. The potential impact of the release of substances includes adverse effects on the water quality of the Solent and in turn the flora and fauna. Owing both to the character of the activities and their small scale, these impacts are likely to be amenable to mitigation. No non-marine qualifying features of the Ramsar site such as rare vascular plants, e.g. Yarrow broomrape (*Orobancha purpurea*) stand to be affected by the works.

As works are confined to the jetty which is c.300 m from the SAC at its closest, the potential for impact on the qualifying features of the SAC is confined to scenarios where remote pollution from work enters the marine environment. Given the likely dispersion of pollutants at the distances involved, and the amenability of the source of impact to mitigation by control measures described in Section 5, the potential for impact is small. No non-marine qualifying features of the SAC such as drift-line vegetation or shifting dune vegetation stand to be affected by the works.

Marine conservation zone assessment

Have the effects of the project on marine conservation zones been considered?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Please give details

(max. 2000 characters)

No MCZ will be affected, the work is not near an MCZ.

Sites of special scientific interest

Have the effects of the project on sites of special scientific interest (SSSI) been considered?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Please give details

(max. 2000 characters)

As works are confined to the jetty the potential for impact on the qualifying features of the SSSI are confined to scenarios where remote pollution enters the marine environment and disturbance to wintering birds. Given the amenability of the source of impact to mitigation by control measures described in Section 5, the potential for impact is small.

No non-marine qualifying features of the SSSI such as marshy grassland, reedbeds and woodland stand to be affected by the works.

Water Framework Directive compliance assessment

Have the effects of the project been considered in accordance with the Water Framework Directive?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Please give details

(max. 2000 characters)

The Environment Agency has confirmed that they consider this activity to be low risk and screened out as per their guidance <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/water-framework-directive-assessment-estuarine-and-coastal-waters>

"You do not need to carry out scoping if your activity is low risk. Your activity is low risk if it's

...

"'over water' replacement or repairs to, for example bridge, pier and jetty surfaces – if you minimise bank or bed disturbance"

Bank and bed disturbance will be none, owing to the use of suspended scaffolding for access to all structures.

Consultation and advertising

Has public consultation taken place and/or has the project been advertised?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Has consultation about the project with any other statutory body taken place?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Please give details

(max. 2000 characters)

Eastleigh Borough Council - see previous answer

Hamble Parish Council (non-statutory) - will be notified and details published via their outlets such as their website once details agreed with Eastleigh BC

Statutory Harbour Authority - ABP Southampton - harbour works consent application is in preparation and will be submitted in time for work to commence.

Licence summary

Do you consider this application to be for emergency activities?

Emergency activities are those undertaken for the protection of life, property or the environment from an imminent risk.

☐ Yes ☒ No

Do you consider this application would qualify for the accelerated licensing process for dredging?

The accelerated licensing process applies to certain types of small-scale low-risk dredging activity.

☐ Yes ☒ No

Proposed licence start date

02-MAR-2026

Proposed licence end date

31-DEC-2030

Site summary

Please provide the location of your proposed activities. Note that the responsibility for determining whether your proposed activities are below Mean High Water Springs (MHWS) rests with the applicant. If there is any doubt as to whether a site lies below MHWS you can undertake an independent survey to determine its location.

Use the 'Add/edit site(s)' button below to add one or more more locations to your application.

Next use the 'Add activity' button to add activities to your locations. (NB this option only appears once a location is created).

Basic examples:

Dredging at RiverA. Create one site for RiverA and add dredging as an activity.
Dredging and quay wall improvements at RiverA. Create 2 locations: one for the dredging in front of the new quay area and one for the quay wall improvements.
Dredging at RiverA and removal of large concrete block within the dredge area. Create 1 location and add two activities: 1 activity for removal and 1 activity for dredging.

Additional functions:

Subsites, Holes and Exclusion Zones can also be used more guidance is available in the 'Help' guide.

Activities:

When an activity is added to a site it is listed in a table. Click on the activity name in the table or use the links on the left hand side of this screen to navigate to the activity screen where you can provide your method statement and other information.

If you delete a site, the activities linked to it will still be visible on this screen. You must delete these activities or move them to a valid site.

If you would like any advice on using this form or structuring your application please contact us.

Sites

Please see included locations.kml file for detailed site locations.

Hamble Jetty

Site sensitivities

You should provide details of any protected areas (European or Ramsar sites, marine conservation zones, sites of special scientific interest, areas of outstanding natural beauty etc) and protected features (scheduled monuments, protected wrecks etc). You should also provide details of other areas and features of social, economic or environmental value. This could include shipping lanes, fishing grounds, recreational sailing areas, material assets, unprotected habitats and species and any other feature. (max. 2000 characters)

Solent and Southampton Water SPA

Solent and Southampton Water Ramsar site

Solent Maritime SAC

Lee-on-the-Solent to Itchen Estuary SSSI

Please see file "422445 - Hamble Jetty painting Appropriate Assessment Report" and accompanying Figure 1 uploaded in response to the Habitats Regulations assessment question for details.

List of activities at this site

Activity	Site	Activity type	Actions
Hamble Jetty Painting	Hamble Jetty	Maintenance of existing works	

Hamble Jetty - Hamble Jetty Painting

Site

Please see included locations.kml file for detailed site locations.

Activity details

Activity type

Please select the type of activity that would take place. If more than one activity would take place you should enter the details of one activity here and then add another activity.

Activity type

Construction, alteration or improvement of any works

Activity subtype

Maintenance of existing works

General

Activity title

Enter the title of this activity (max. 250 characters)

Hamble Jetty Painting

Activity description

You should include a detailed description of the activity. For construction activities, this should include the dimensions of the works and materials to be used. (max. 2000 characters)

The works will include, first, erecting scaffolding on the jetty. The scaffolding will be built from the existing jetty structure and therefore not disrupting the sea floor. The scaffold will allow work to take place in sections, done in 10m increments. Exact scaffold designs will be finalized at a later date. Next, hydro blasting the jetty structure using UHP while the scaffold area is fully enclosed using 50 micron Terram sheets to ensure no material is released. There will be a double layer of the sheeting to further prevent release. Then, painting will take place using manufacturer's recommended methodology. Application process will include spray painting, rollers and brushes. The paint material is International Interzone 954.

Activity methodology

Your method statement should clearly explain how you are going to carry out the activities providing detail on any materials and plant to be used as well as proposed programme timings. (max. 2000 characters)

Scaffold will be erected using standard scaffolding materials, as mentioned above. The scaffold will include an aluminum deck so that typical scaffold boards don't get wet with rising tides. A jet wash machine will use potable water to hydro blast the existing paint, a water compressor/genset will be used for the jet wash.

Highest noise activities (jet washing) will take place inside normal working hours, 8am-5pm. Painting will adjust slightly to accommodate timing of the tides.

Paint type is International Interzone 954, and will be applied using a disk spray paint applicator, rollers and brushes per manufacturer's recommendations.

Activity start date	Activity end date
----------------------------	--------------------------

02-MAR-2026	31-DEC-2030
-------------	-------------

Activity programme

You should detail the proposed programme of works for the activity. This should include proposed start and end dates for the activity. It should also include details of any elements that need to be completed by a certain date and details of any time periods during which the activity could not be carried out and the reasons for this. It should also include proposed working hours. (max. 2000 characters)

See above. Additionally, according to the proposed mitigations for protecting wildlife, noisy work will take place between 15 March and 15 October. There will be limited, non-disruptive activities, such as building or dismantling scaffold, and final brush painting for 2 weeks before and after the 15 March and 15 October to ensure timely completion of the works. The scaffolding will not remain in place over the winter. Working hours for the noisiest activities will be 8am-5pm, and painting will be slightly adjusted at times to work with the tidal waters.

The project will take place over the course of 5 years since the jetty is 490m in length and will need to be painted in stages. The program of works will not change year to year, following the same working schedule and working hours until the jetty is painted in its entirety.

Potential impacts

You should detail the potential impacts this activity may have. This should include social, economic and environmental impacts. If this has already been detailed elsewhere in the application it is sufficient to reference that. (max. 2000 characters)

The proposed work at the jetty crosses part of the SPA and the Ramsar site. However, there will be no direct habitat loss, as works will be confined to the jetty structure itself. Given that there will be no habitat loss, works are therefore unlikely to give rise to impacts other than the disturbance of birds.

Potential impacts of the works therefore relate to wintering and passage birds only for which the sites have been designated.

While works will be limited to the jetty, there would – in the absence of mitigation – be potential for significant disturbance to wintering species, firstly in the form of visual disturbance caused by the presence of workers, and secondly in the form of noise disturbance caused principally by the surface preparation methods (grit blasting, high-pressure jetting).

No non-marine qualifying features of the Ramsar site such as rare vascular plants, e.g. Yarrow broomrape (*Orobanche purpurea*) stand to be affected by the works.

No non-marine qualifying features of the SAC such as drift-line vegetation or shifting dune vegetation stand to be affected by the works.

No non-marine qualifying features of the SSSI such as marshy grassland, reedbeds and woodland stand to be affected by the works.

At the time of the last repainting of the jetty in 1996, a noise impact assessment was carried out. It focused on the noisiest activity associated with the work, that being the operation of compressor for grit blasting. The assessment found that noise was not perceived to be significant at a distance of greater than 100 m from the activity. At 300 m, the noise was 'faintly audible' and at a distance of 400 m the compressor was inaudible.

Proposed mitigation

You should detail the mitigation you propose in response to the potential impacts. This should include a detailed explanation of the mitigation measure and evidence to demonstrate that the mitigation is likely to be successful. If this has already been detailed elsewhere in the application it is sufficient to reference that. (max. 2000 characters)

To minimise impacts on wintering birds, no activities which are likely to cause disturbance to them will be undertaken between 15 October and 15 March. Activities to be restricted are grit blasting, high-pressure water jetting and spray painting. This restriction prevents noise disturbance to wintering species during the key winter months.

The containment method for the ultra-high-pressure water jetting comprises several layers of filter sheet enclosing the work area and finely filtering the removed solid material from the pumped fresh water. The water that passes through the filtration sheets will be discharged to the estuary. The solid material collected on the filter sheets will be bagged at least daily, taken to shore and disposed of.

A sampling and analysis programme will be implemented for the water discharge. It will include:

- Testing of the receiving water body prior to work commencing, to set baseline levels of the parameters most at risk of changing.
- Testing of the filtered water that has been used for the water jetting.
- Testing of the receiving water.

The precise details of this programme, in terms of parameters to be analysed, frequency and methods of sampling and analysis, are being finalised at the time of writing.

Additional mitigations are outlined in the document below.

Residual risks

You should detail the residual risks from the activity following the mitigation. This should include an assessment of the significance of the risks and evidence to show why these risks cannot be avoided or further mitigated. (max. 2000 characters)

Several elements of the work could in principle and in the absence of mitigation affect qualifying features of the Natura 2000 sites. The reasons for concluding that no qualifying features will be significantly affected are as follows.

For all qualifying features in respect of impacts from pollution. The containment of works and diverse control measures set out in methods statements will prevent significant effects from otherwise possible pollution incidents, especially given but not relying on the likely dispersion of any substances at the distances between qualifying features and the jetty.

For all other features involving breeding birds. There are no habitats close to the jetty that could support the qualifying species that make up the breeding assemblage of the SPA.

For potential noise-disturbance impacts on wintering and passage birds. There will be seasonal restrictions banning all works capable of causing noise disturbance to winter or passage birds between 15 October and 15 March. This will prevent any noise impacts on individually qualifying species or qualifying assemblages of species.

For potential visual-disturbance impacts on wintering and passage birds. Containment of works with sheeting for other purposes will provide visual screening, and where none is required other similar screening will be provided. This will prevent significant visual disturbance of any qualifying species or qualifying assemblages of species.

Given the restrictions on work proposed and the containment of works, it is not considered that the activities will result in any significant effects on any Natura 2000 site or other designated site.

Additional supporting information

You should use this section to provide any further information about this activity that you wish to have taken into account in the processing and determination of this application. (max. 2000 characters)

Additional supporting information can be found in the attached documents.

Maintenance of existing works

Use intended to be made of the works

You should detail the use that will be made of the works. For example, if you are proposing to build a quay to use for unloading cargo then you should detail the type of cargo, quantity to be unloaded, frequency of unloading, methodology of unloading and any other relevant information. (max. 2000 characters)

This project is to maintain the integrity of the jetty, an existing asset, such that its current use can continue safely and effectively. There is no change to the facility's usage as a result of the proposed work.

The jetty is used for the docking of oil tankers to load crude oil from the tanks at the oil terminal for export.

Marine plan policies

Access

S-ACC-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

We are currently evaluating the need for temporary closure of the section of the Solent Way footpath passing the jetty, to maintain public safety and security. This will be done via the appropriate consent through Hampshire County Council. The closure will be minimised, and the prescribed notifications and alternative routes put in place. This will be the only adverse impact on access. It is not considered significant.

S-ACC-2

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal will not enhance public access within the marine area. There may be a slight adverse impact as mentioned above.

Aggregates

S-AGG-4

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal does not require marine aggregates.

Aquaculture

S-AQ-2

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal does not enable the provision of infrastructure for sustainable fisheries and aquaculture, but neither does it have any negative effect on this.

Biodiversity

S-BIO-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal will have no adverse impact on natural habitat and species adaptation, migration and connectivity. This project will only be taking place on the jetty structure and not disrupting the sea floor at any time. Mitigations in place are the double layered 50 micron Terram sheets that will fully enclose the scaffolding during works to prevent the release of any materials. Additionally, we will only be working during the months stated to limit the impact on the habitats.

S-BIO-2

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal does not have features that enhance or facilitate natural habitat and species adaptation, migration and connectivity.

S-BIO-3

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal will entail neither loss nor gain of coastal habitat. This project will only be taking place on the jetty structure and not disrupting the sea floor at any time. Mitigations in place are the double layered 50 micron Terram sheets that will fully enclose the scaffolding during works to prevent the release of any materials. Additionally, we will only be working during the months stated to limit the impact on the habitats.

S-BIO-4

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal will entail neither enhancement of nor reduction in the distribution and extent of habitats. This project will only be taking place on the jetty structure and not disrupting the sea floor at any time. Mitigations in place are the double layered 50 micron Terram sheets that will fully enclose the scaffolding during works to prevent the release of any materials. Additionally, we will only be working during the months stated to limit the impact on the habitats.

Cables

S-CAB-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal is not for cable installation.

S-CAB-2

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

The proposal is not within the vicinity of any new or existing cable or cable landfall site, according to the MIS.

Climate change

S-CC-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal will not result in any realistically foreseeable greenhouse gas emissions from unintended consequences on other activities.

S-CC-2

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

- 1) The durability of the paint system is high as defined by various technical standards BS EN 12944. The effects of climate change on the durability will be negligible within the design life of 15-20 years.
- 2) The proposal will not have any effect on climate change adaptation measures elsewhere.

S-CC-3

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

The proposal will not have any effect on coastal change. This project will only be taking place on the jetty structure. Access to the structure will be through scaffolding on the structure, and therefore no disruption to the sea floor or impact to the coastline will take place.

S-CC-4

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

The proposal will not have any effect on habitats that provide a flood defence or carbon sequestration ecosystem service. This project will only be taking place on the jetty structure. Access to the structure will be through scaffolding on the structure, and therefore no disruption to the sea floor will take place.

Co-existence

S-CO-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

The proposal will not result in any additional space take. The space required during the maintenance works is limited to a small area surrounding the jetty. There are no particular conflicts with other activities or opportunities for co-existence.

Disturbance

S-DIST-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

The proposal will not disturb or have any adverse effect on highly mobile species. This project will only be taking place on the jetty structure. Access to the structure will be through scaffolding on the structure, so the footprint of the project will not extend far past what is already existing. There will be no disturbance to the sea floor and no addition of any materials (such as spud legs) that will disrupt the waterways.

Dredging & disposal

S-DD-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

The marine information system shows that the proposal is within an area that has been subject to licensed dredging in the past, but there is no possibility of conflict between these maintenance works on an existing jetty and any dredging activity.

S-DD-2

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal does not involve dredging or the handling of dredge material.

Employment

S-EMP-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal does not have any significant effect in this area. We intend to use contractors who have a successful track record and extensive skills base in the work required.

S-EMP-2

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal does not have any significant effect in this area, though it will employ a crew of around 8-12 people for its duration. We intend to use contractors who have a successful track record and extensive skills base in the work required.

Fisheries

S-FISH-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal does not support the diversification of a sustainable fishing industry or enhance fishing industry resilience to the effects of climate change, but neither does it have any negative effect on those aspects.

S-FISH-2

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal will not have any adverse impact on access to, or within, sustainable fishing or aquaculture sites. This project will only be taking place on the jetty structure. Access to the structure will be through scaffolding on the structure, and therefore no disruption to the sea floor or surrounding waters will take place.

S-FISH-4

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

The proposal will not enhance or adversely affect fish habitats. This project will only be taking place on the jetty structure. Access to the structure will be through scaffolding on the structure, and therefore no disruption to the sea floor or surrounding waters will take place.

Heritage assets

S-HER-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

The presence of a Scheduled Ancient Monument, "Promontory defined by an Iron Age linear earthwork, St Andrew's Castle and additional remains on Hamble Common", immediately to the SE of the terminal is acknowledged. The proposal will not have any impact on this area. There will be no access through this area.

Infrastructure

S-INF-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

Hamble Oil Terminal is land-based infrastructure that facilitates marine activity via its jetty, and vice-versa. The maintenance of the jetty supports this continuing relationship.

Invasive non-native species

S-NIS-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

There will be no possibility of this proposal introducing non-native species. The possibility of transport of NNS is negligible as the limited amount of marine growth that will be removed as part of the surface preparation will be caught and disposed of onshore via appropriately licensed waste management and disposal facilities and methods.

No spud leg barges or floating pontoons will be used for access to the jetty structure, only scaffolding on the existing jetty structure. Therefore, no possibility of introducing non-native species exists.

Knowledge, understanding, appreciation & enjoyment

S-SOC-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal does not enhance or promote social benefits, but nor does it have any negative effect on these. It does not entail any displacement of activity. The work will be entirely taking place on the jetty structure, which has restricted access, and therefore will have no impact on the community or waterways around the structure. The footprint of the scaffold used for access will be minimal in comparison to the structure that is already existing.

Land

Land

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This is not a proposal for development, it is a proposal for maintenance of an existing asset. There will be a minor adverse impact through:

- Minor noise and temporary access restrictions (e.g. possible closure of Solent Way passing the jetty) – these are being, or will be, dealt with through consents / notifications from Eastleigh Borough Council and Hampshire County Council respectively.

Hamble Oil Terminal is land-based infrastructure that facilitates marine activity via its jetty, and vice-versa. The maintenance of the jetty supports this continuing relationship.

Marine litter

S-ML-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

The onus for this policy is on public authorities.
This proposal will not create any marine litter.

S-ML-2

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal will not create any marine litter. All the existing paint system and marine growth material removed from the structures will be caught and disposed of via licensed onshore facilities and methods. As outlined in the application, while removing any materials (paint or marine growth), the area will be 100% enclosed with 50 micron Terram sheets. All materials removed will be caught within the sheets and disposed of accordingly.

Marine protected areas

S-MPA-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

There is no potential for this proposal to have any effect on any MPA other than those in which it is located and hence the ecological coherence of the MPA network will not be affected. The work will only be taking place on the jetty structure which will protect the areas since there will be no disruption to the sea floor. Additionally, no materials will be released into the waterways because of the 50 micron Terram filtering around the scaffolding that has previously been outlined. Other mitigations include the work being limited to take place between March and October each year. The project is designed to extend the life of the existing jetty and ensure reliability of the structure.

S-MPA-2

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal will have no effect, positive or negative, on any MPA's ability to adapt to climate change. The work will only be taking place on the jetty structure which will protect the areas since there will be no disruption to the sea floor or other surroundings. Additionally, no materials will be released into the waterways because of the 50 micron Terram filtering around the scaffolding that has previously been outlined. Other mitigations include the work being limited to take place between March and October each year. The project is designed to extend the life of the existing jetty and ensure reliability of the structure.

S-MPA-3

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

The consideration of MPA boundary changes is not within the remit of us as licence applicants. This proposal will not affect the resilience of the MPAs to climate change, any adaptation measures, or the ecological coherence of the MPA network. The work will only be taking place on the jetty structure which will protect the areas since there will be no disruption to the sea floor. Additionally, no materials will be released into the waterways because of the 50 micron Terram filtering around the scaffolding that has previously been outlined. Other mitigations include the work being limited to take place between March and October each year. The project is designed to extend the life of the existing jetty and ensure reliability of the structure.

S-MPA-4

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal will have no impact outside its immediate vicinity, and the impact in that zone will be generally negligible. The work will only be taking place on the jetty structure which will protect the areas since there will be no disruption to the sea floor or other surroundings. Additionally, no materials will be released into the waterways because of the 50 micron Terram filtering around the scaffolding that has previously been outlined. Other mitigations include the work being limited to take place between March and October each year. The project is designed to extend the life of the existing jetty and ensure reliability of the structure.

Ports, harbours & shipping

S-PS-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

The proposal will not have any impact on current activity or future expansion of port or harbour activities. The maintenance of the jetty is important in enabling the continuing

function of a port facility within the administrative area of Southampton harbour. Harbour works consent is being applied for.

S-PS-3

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

The proposal does not require static sea surface infrastructure or reduce under-keel clearance. It is not within a high density navigation route according to the MIS, but passenger services do operate past the terminal and jetty. There is no risk to the safe navigation or viability of these routes and services presented by the proposals. The infrastructure and activity required is only in the immediate vicinity of the existing jetty.

Renewables

S-REN-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal does not support the development of supply chains associated with the deployment of renewable energy.

Seascape & landscape

S-SCP-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

There will be a minor negative impact on the seascape, through the temporary presence of scaffolding and general activity. In the context of an industrial facility, this cannot be considered significant.

Tourism & recreation

S-TR-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal does not support, promote or facilitate tourism and recreation activities, but neither does it have any significant negative impact on tourism and recreation activities.

S-TR-2

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal does not enhance or promote social benefits, but nor does it have any negative effect on these. It does not entail any displacement of activity.

This is not a proposal for development, it is a proposal for maintenance of an existing asset. It will not have any significant adverse impact on tourism or recreation. There will be a minor adverse impact on these through:

- Slight blight on the seascape through scaffolding and general presence around the jetty; minor in the context of an industrial facility
- Minor noise and temporary access restrictions (e.g. possible closure of Solent Way passing the jetty) – these are being, or will be, dealt with through consents / notifications

from Eastleigh Borough Council and Hampshire County Council respectively.

Underwater noise

S-UWN-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal does not entail the generation of impulsive sound.

S-UWN-2

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal does not entail the generation of impulsive sound or significant underwater noise.

Water quality

S-WQ-1

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal will have a negligible effect on water quality and associated habitats and species. No pollution will enter the water under normal circumstances. If water jetting is used, the quality of the filtered fresh water discharge to the sea will be analysed and monitored.

S-WQ-2

Provide an explanation on how you have considered this policy

This proposal does not incorporate any measures to improve the water environment or enhance habitats and species that can be of benefit to water quality.

Additional plan and policy information

Provide any further information about your consideration of the Marine Policy Statement (MPS), marine plans and policy objectives you would like the MMO to take into account when determining your application

Please see attached document "Hamble Jetty Painting Marine Plan Policies"

Do you have any relevant documents that support your consideration or assessment of the MPS or marine plan policies?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Have you considered or assessed this project with regard to other policy statements and spatial plans?

This includes national, regional and local policies and spatial plans

☒ Yes

☐ No

Provide an explanation of which other spatial plans and policy statements you have considered or assessed and how they have been considered or assessed

Solent and Southampton Water SPA
Solent and Southampton Water Ramsar site
Solent Maritime SAC
Lee-on-the-Solent to Itchen Estuary SSSI

Please see file "422445 - Hamble Jetty painting Appropriate Assessment Report" and accompanying Figure 1 uploaded in response to the Habitats Regulations assessment question for details.

Do you have any documents to upload that support your consideration or assessments of other spatial plans and policy statements?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Licence conditions

Are there any conditions you consider should be added to the marine licence?

Any suggested conditions will be considered as part of the application and may be applied to the consent. However, proposed conditions may also be edited or removed and other conditions may be applied in addition to or in place of any conditions you propose.

☐ Yes

☒ No

Other details

Fees and charges

Cost of project seaward of mean high water springs (£)

Specify pounds only or pounds and pence, e.g. 1000 or 1000.10

2100000

Public register

Permission to add your data to the MMO evidence base:

The Marine Management Organisation (MMO) has gathered information from a number of existing sources to support marine planning, marine licensing and associated functions of the MMO. The MMO is continuously adding to the evidence base to support future decision making, with the aim to ensure a sustainable future for our coastal and offshore waters.

A new marine plan led system of marine management will set the direction for decision making on marine use and will:

- guide marine users to the most suitable locations for different activities;
- manage the use of marine resources to ensure sustainable levels; and
- consider all the benefits and impacts of current and future activities that occur in the marine environment.

1.The MMO would like your permission to use any of the data you submit in a digital format that can be entered into a geographical information system. This data may be used to inform MMO functions.

Can we use your data to inform MMO functions?

☒ Yes ☐ No

2.Under section 101 of the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 the MMO must maintain a register of activities where it is the appropriate licensing authority. Information contained within or provided in support of this application will be placed on the MMO's Public Register unless:

- The Secretary of State determines that its disclosure would be contrary to the interests of national security; or
- The MMO determines that its disclosure would adversely affect confidentiality of commercial or industrial information where such confidentiality is provided by law to protect legitimate commercial interest.

Is there any information in your application (including any supporting documents) that you believe should be withheld from the Public Register?

☐ Yes ☒ No